

ROCKY MOUNTAIN FARMERS UNION POLICY 2010

NATIONAL
COLORADO
NEW MEXICO
WYOMING

As determined by delegate discussion and vote at the 2009 Rocky Mountain Farmers Union
Annual Convention—November 20, 2009, in Lakewood, Colorado

2009 Policy Committee

Board Representative:

Monty Niebur, Akron, Colo.

Board Representative:

Barb Marty, Strasburg, Colo.

District One:

David Foy, Otis, Colo.

District Two:

Fred Midcap, Wiggins, Colo.

District Three:

Carl Jensen, Wheatland, Wyo.

District Four:

Leellen Koroulis, Steamboat Springs, Colo.

District Five:

Joy Werner, Alamosa, Colo.

District Six:

Caron Wetter, Limon, Colo.

District Seven:

Gregg Gossett, Portales, N.M.

Youth Representative:

Jenna Bagnall, Fort Collins, Colo.

Ex-Officio Member:

Kent Pepler, President,
Rocky Mountain Farmers Union

Staff:

Benjamin Waters

Government Relations/Colo.

Scott Zimmerman

Government Relations/Wyo.

Leland Swenson

Executive Director



5655 S. Yosemite St., Ste. 400
Greenwood Village, CO 80111
303.752.5800 rmfu.org

Our Mission

Rocky Mountain Farmers Union is a progressive, grassroots organization dedicated to

- achieving profitability for family farmers and ranchers;
- promoting stewardship of land and water resources;
- delivering safe, healthy food to consumers;
- strengthening rural communities through education, legislation, and cooperation;
- being the voice for family agriculture and rural communities.

Safe, healthy food begins with secure, profitable farm and ranch families.

CONTENTS

National Policy 2010

Article I. National Rural Policy	5
A. Family Farm Defined	5
B. Corporation Farming	5
C. Agricultural Land	5
D. National Food and Farm Policy	5
E. Farm Program Recommendations	6
F. Labeling	7
G. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)	8
H. Farm Service Agency (FSA)	8
I. Rural Development (RD)	8
J. Cooperative Financing	8
K. Federal Meat Grading and Inspections	8
L. Farm Labor	8
M. Federal School Lunch Program	9
N. Farm to Consumer Food Systems	9
O. Cooperatives	9
P. Trade Policy	9
Q. Federal Public Lands	10
R. New Agricultural Production Technologies	11
S. Livestock Health	11
T. Animal Identification	11
U. Domestic Horse Population	12
V. Endangered Species Act	12
W. Animal Welfare	12
X. International Relations	12
Article II. Economic and Tax Policy	12
A. Tax Reform	12
B. Value-Added Tax	12
C. Estate Tax	12
D. Internet Tax	12
E. Commodity Check-off Programs	12
F. Commodity Futures Markets	13
G. Farm Credit System (FCS)	13
H. Warehousing	13
I. Vertical Integration and Price Fixing	13
J. Federal Reserve	13
K. Regulating Risk in the Financial Services Industry	13
L. Community Financial Institutions	13
Article III. Utilities, Conservation, Energy, and Development Policy	13
A. Conservation	13
B. Pests and Noxious Weeds	13
C. Predator and Pest Control	14
D. Rural Utility Services (RUS)	14
E. Telecommunications	14
F. Power Utilities	14
G. Water	14
H. Native American Water Rights	14
I. Water Quality	14
J. Federal Water Projects	14
K. Energy	15

L. Greenhouse Gas Mitigation	15
M. Alternative Fuels	15
N. Alternative Crops	15
O. Regulatory Agencies	16
P. Transportation	16
Q. Waste Disposal	16
R. Rural Revitalization	16
S. Infrastructure Ownership	17

Article IV. Educational and Social Policy

A. Education	17
B. Land Grant Universities	17
C. Nutrition Programs	17
D. National Health Insurance	17
E. Medical Care	17
F. Social Security	18
G. Social Services	18
H. Veterans' Benefits	18
I. Postal Service and Rates	18
J. Congressional and Presidential Elections	18

Colorado State Policy 2010

Article I. Land

A. Land Use	19
B. Economic Development	19
C. State Lands	19
D. Eminent Domain	19
E. Corporate Farming	19
F. Oil and Minerals	19
G. Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission	20

Article II. Water

A. Preservation of Agricultural Water	20
B. Water Diversion	20
C. Raw Water Projects	20
D. Water Projects	20
E. Water Quality	21
F. Soil and Water Conservation	21

Article III. Alternative & Renewable Energy

A. Bio-Fuels	21
B. Wind	21
C. Solar	21
D. Net-Metering Policy	21
E. Financial Incentives	21
F. Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS)	21

Article IV. Economic Policy

A. Taxes	22
B. Worker's Compensation	22
C. Mechanical Lien	22

Article V. Colorado Department of Agriculture

A. Weights and Measures	22
B. Colorado Warehousing Act	22
C. Colorado Agricultural Development Authority (CADA)	22
D. State Fair	22

Article VI. Cooperatives	23
Article VII. Conservation and Development Policy	23
A. Recycling	23
B. Wildlife Management	23
C. Alternative Livestock and Non-Amenable Species (Bison, Elk and Deer)	23
D. Noxious Weeds and Pests	23
E. Industrial Siting Act	23
F. Animal Welfare	24
G. Alternative Crops	24
Article VIII. Education and Social Policy	24
A. Medical Care	24
B. Education	24
C. Senior Citizens	24
D. Homelessness	25
E. Nutrition and Farmers Markets	25
F. Immigration	25
Article IX. Government Regulatory Policy	25
A. Transportation	25
B. Telephone and Internet Service	25
C. Energy	25
D. Country-of-Origin Labeling	25
E. Raw Milk	25
F. Animal Identification	25
G. Weather Modification	26
H. Emissions	26
I. Food Safety	26
J. Amendment 14 – Concerning Industrial Hog Operations	26
Article X. Political Reform	26

New Mexico Policy 2010

Article I. Land and Water Policies	27
A. Noxious Weeds	27
B. Land Commissioner	27
C. Water	27
D. Wastewater	27
E. Property Rights	27
Article II. Energy Sources, Development and Conservation	27
Article III. Economic and Social Policies	28
A. Predators and Pests	28
B. Game and Fish	28
C. Taxes	28
D. Veterinarians	28
E. Trade	28
F. Banking	28
G. Premise Registration and Animal Identification	28
H. Alternative Crops	29
Article IV. Government and Agencies	29
A. Agricultural Services	29
B. Secretary of Agriculture	29
C. Gun Control	29
D. Right to Work	29
E. State Education	29

F. Utilities	29
G. International Relations	29
H. Food Safety	29

Wyoming Policy 2010

Article I. Water	30
A. Water Law	30
B. Utilization of Water	30
C. Water Project Funding	30
D. North Platte River	30
E. Municipal Water	30
F. Water Quality	30
Article II. Land Use	30
Article III. Minerals	30
A. Mineral Rights	30
B. Leases	30
Article IV. Oil and Gas	30
Article V. Conservation	30
A. Recycling	30
B. Noxious Weed Control	30
C. Game and Fish Department	30
D. Endangered Species Act	31
Article VI. Education Policy	31
Article VII. Tax Policy	31
A. <i>Ad Valorem</i> Taxes	31
B. Wyoming Inheritance Tax	31
C. Sales and Use Tax	31
D. Severance Tax	31
Article VIII. Political Involvement	31
Article IX. Unity	31
Article X. Workmen’s Compensation	31
Article XI. Waste	31
Article XII. Warehouse Law	31
Article XIII. Grain Indemnity Fund	32
Article XIV. State Land and Investment Board	32
Article XV. Electrical Utilities	32
Article XVI. Economic Development	32
Article XVII. Livestock Regulations	32
Article XVIII. Damage Notification Requirements	32
Article XIX. Mediation Service	32
Article XX. State School Trust Lands	32
Article XXI. State Legislative Proceedings	32
Article XXII. Conflict of Interest	32
Article XXIII. Landowner Reimbursement	32
Article XXIV. Wyoming Department of Agriculture (WDA) Funding	32
Article XXV. Excess State Revenues	32
Article XXVI. Alternative Energy	32

NATIONAL POLICY 2010

Article I. National Rural Policy

A. Family Farm Defined

A “family farm” is an agricultural production unit, economically adequate to produce modern United States standards of living, using land and other capital investments, operated by one or more farm operator families who reside on the farm, provide the management, take the economic risk, and do work (peak season excepted) required to operate the unit.

A “family farm corporation” means a corporation founded for the purpose of farming and the ownership of agricultural land in which the majority of the voting stock is held by, and the majority of the stockholders are, members of a family, at least one of whom is the person managing and working on the farm. A family farm corporation shall not cease to qualify as such by reason of any device or bequest of shares of voting stock.

B. Corporation Farming

An Industrial Corporate Farm is any entity engaged in agricultural production or agribusiness sales on a mass production scale.

Farming by large and non-family corporations, and by foreign owners, should be prohibited.

We oppose the vertical integration and consolidation of agribusiness corporations.

C. Agricultural Land

1. Ownership

We oppose nonresident foreign and industrial corporate ownership of American farms and real estate.

We demand tax advantages now available to foreign and industrial corporate investors be eliminated immediately to discourage foreign and industrial corporate ownership of agricultural land.

We demand lands owned by foreign investors have all income taxes computed on an accrual basis so that agricultural products cannot be exported out of the United States without any income tax payment as is now possible on a cash basis. All foreign ownership of land shall be reported to each Secretary of State. Failure to report shall have substantial penalties. Compliance will insure payment of taxes by foreign owners.

We oppose foreign citizens (except those in the process of obtaining legal U. S. citizenship) leasing state land or holding federal grazing allotments. Those foreign citizens holding current leases should be required to relinquish them.

We demand foreign owners of American lands be required to comply with an approved soil conservation plan.

2. Agricultural Land

Preservation Programs

We support the use of transferable development rights, conservation easements, and other tools that will keep agricultural lands in production while also providing open space.

We encourage land trusts to include easements for farmer or community-owned renewable energy power generation equipment as part of easement agreements.

We support tax credits, through the sale of conservation easements, transferable development rights, etc., be marketable to those who have a tax liability.

3. Eminent Domain

We support the Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution, which assures that “private property (shall not) be taken for public use, without just compensation.” Public use shall not be construed to mean private entities using eminent domain for private property.

We urge entities that have the right of eminent domain be required to prove that the land is needed in order to serve the public interest, that fair environmental standards will be observed, and that no more land is taken than is actually required.

Any access to properties being considered for projects shall only be by written notice with adequate time frames included to adjust scheduling of entry for surveying and siting.

All reclamation work will occur in a timely manner with bonding required to assure project success.

We oppose the use of eminent domain by government agencies on behalf of any private entity that does not itself have eminent domain powers.

We support having a public hearing on any proposed use of eminent domain in the affected area, after legal notification of all area residents and landowners.

Good faith negotiations shall occur on behalf of both parties before eminent domain can be exercised.

We urge a non-governmental third party entity agreeable to all parties to assess land value in cases of condemnation.

We insist court-adjusted compensation return full value of a property—including relocation costs—to the owner, and that all legal costs should be paid by the government or agency condemning the property. We oppose the expansion of military reservations, including the taking of the lands, without just compensation.

D. National Food and Farm Policy

We call for implementation of food, farm, fuel and fiber policies that strengthen the family farm unit for the purpose of protecting safe, healthy, domestically-produced food for our citizens. These policies should include the following:

- A goal of parity of income on par with other sectors of the economy;
- A credit system that provides flexibility to farmers to finance inputs and invest in processing facilities to increase income;
- Conservation programs that will compensate farmers while protecting our nation’s greatest natural resources—the land, air and water;
- Financial and tax incentives to assist in making farming a career opportunity for all people;
- International trade agreements that achieve profitability for all American producers;
- Required Congressional approval of sanctions and embargos of agricultural and medical exports before they can be enacted;

- Incentives to encourage production of/and investment by family farmers and community-based organizations in processing and distribution of renewable energy systems;
- Regulations that establish and maintain fair, open and competitive markets with a transparent price discovery system;
- Implementation and safeguarding of food safety measures and enforcement of those measures already in place;
- Increased food safety and security with additional testing especially of foreign-produced products;
- Enforcement of existing food safety regulations on handlers and processors.

E. Farm Program Recommendations

1. Parity

We support the concept of parity (equal purchasing power) of commodity prices to provide equality of income for farm families comparable to incomes of families in other segments of the economy.

a. The Concept of Parity

Parity (farm price index) measures the percentage change in prices received by farmers for the products they sell in relation to the prices paid by farmers for goods and services used in production, interest on capital, taxes and wages, compared with the base period of 1910-1914. A ratio of 100 percent implies the same relationship that existed in the base period. A 75 percent parity ratio indicates that the farmer's purchasing power was down to $\frac{3}{4}$ of what it would buy in the base period. Congress deemed the 1910-1914 base period as one in which there was a balanced relationship between farm and non-farm prices.

b. Parity as a Measurement

We reaffirm our basic commitment to the parity formula (using the base year 1910-1914) established by law as the standard by which to determine prices for all agricultural commodities.

c. The Goal of Parity

Price supports for agricultural commodities are meant to achieve parity for family farmers and ranchers. The levels of support of farm prices should be adjusted regularly in order to reflect changes in costs to farmers of producing farm commodities and for family living.

2. Future Farm Programs – Parity of Income

RMFU supports the development of a future farm program that enables farmers to achieve parity income on par with other sectors of the economy at an income level that covers costs of production plus a reasonable return.

RMFU urges future farm programs to include an income support mechanism.

RMFU urges future farm programs to include farmer-owned commodity loans on a limited amount of production for an individual operator. The loan rate should be set at 80 percent of the national average of cost-of-production, indexed annually for inflation. This program would provide farmers a tool to empower themselves, on a voluntary basis, to influence market prices. The proposed income support should link closely with the government's risk management programs, insuring against crop losses.

RMFU urges future farm programs to be linked directly to participation in conservation programs, such as the Conservation Security Program, that are an integral part of production practices, providing producers with appropriate compensation for participation.

RMFU urges future farm programs to provide incentives to encourage producer participation directly in the development, ownership, processing and distribution of renewable energy and fuels. Such farm programs will be flexible, will limit government financial exposure, keep the government out of the storage business, and provide farmers with income support at a time of low market prices, along with the tools to empower farmers to improve market prices and manage their risks during natural disasters.

We urge the establishment of A.P.H. yield floors to protect the producer in the event of successive crop failure.

We support establishing limits on farm program payments.

3. Research and Development into Alternative Crops and Production Methods

We support alternatives to energy and chemical intensive methods of production. These include organic, free range, natural and integrated pest management alternatives.

We urge Congress and the USDA to re-commit and fully fund research into alternative crops and uses for crops. These crops would include, but are not limited to, canola, buckwheat, lupins, field peas, industrial hemp, millet, and straw. To facilitate this resolution, we urge the USDA to finalize the funding for the greenhouse complex at the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS) Central Great Plains Research Center at Akron, Colorado.

We further urge Congress and the USDA to implement a program to assist producers in obtaining and establishing profitable markets for these crops.

We support the USDA, ARS, and land grant and community colleges to increase publicly funded independent research to benefit all producers.

We support the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices that satisfy human food and fiber needs, enhance environmental quality, make the most efficient use of nonrenewable resources and on-farm resources, sustain the economic viability of farm operations, enhance the quality of life for farmers and society as a whole and where appropriate, integrate natural biological cycles and controls to protect the resource base on which agriculture depends.

4. Organics/Natural Foods

We support those farmers who wish to pursue the production of food and fiber through organic and sustainable methods of farming. We urge close monitoring of the implementation of the Organic Foods Protection Act to protect the interests of the family farmers and consumers and the integrity of the Act.

RMFU urges the USDA National Organic Program to adhere to the intent and integrity of the National Organic Standards, and that the National Organic Standards Board continues to have full authority over materials allowed or prohibited in the organic production and handling.

We support developing national definitions and standards for naturally-labeled foods.

5. Risk Management

a. Federal Crop Insurance

We support efforts to improve the federal crop insurance program that

- Recognize federal crop insurance is not an effective substitute for other agricultural safety-net policies or programs;
- Are consistent with, and complements the goal of improving, short and long-term family farm and ranch economic sustainability;
- Provide equitable and adequate coverage levels at affordable prices for a broader range of commodities including specialty crops, production techniques and geographic regions;
- Encourage innovation to improve and develop risk management tools such as dollar per-acre coverage and revenue products for the livestock and dairy sectors;
- Recognize that short term financial soundness should not be the sole determinant in establishing types of coverage, insurance levels or rates;
- Eliminate un-harvested deductions for all crops'

We recommend that farmers relying on surface water for irrigation not be penalized by being forced to sign up crop acreage as dry land instead of irrigated land due to an "Act of God" resulting in lack of water because of drought.

We recommend coverage based on actual production history (A.P.H.) where available; for cases without A.P.H., the transitional yield cannot be lower than county Farm Service Agency (FSA) yields. Additionally, we urge the establishment of A.P.H. yield floors to protect the producer in the event of successive disasters. This floor cannot be lower than FSA yields.

We support Crop Insurance premium assistance at 100 percent coverage levels.

We demand limiting risk management audits of farm records to seven years of production history, regardless of crop rotation.

We support Cost of Production Insurance.

We support the Risk Management Agency (RMA), using weather data where available on a section basis to assess moisture availability (rainfall and snowmelt) for crop production, in determination of prevented crop planting or crop losses.

We support the creation of a new federal risk management insurance pool to provide broad liability coverage to cooperatives and individuals who wish to market their products directly to consumers.

We oppose any surcharge to farming practices after an organic A.P.H. is established.

We oppose the Risk Management Agency (RMA) allowing crop insurance premium discounts with regard to consumption of specific crop inputs offered by a single company.

b. Disaster Programs

We support the permanent disaster assistance program in the Farm Bill with complete funding.

6. Conservation

We support more control in conservation program decisions by locally elected Conservation District Board of Supervisors.

We support stacking benefits with regards to conservation and energy programs.

a. Conservation Reserve Program (CRP)

We support expired CRP acres being eligible to be re-enrolled in the program.

We endorse the effort to preserve and protect our more fragile farmland, and to restore the health of native grasslands through management practices that utilize CRP lands in rotational grazing systems.

We support the landowners'/operators' right to rebid the contract for an additional term at the same rate or a rate adjusted for inflation.

We support allowing enrollees to manage permanent vegetative cover to enhance wildlife habitat and ecosystem health.

We favor managed haying and grazing of CRP stands for the purpose of maintaining the health of the grass species and minimizing fire hazard.

We support controlling noxious weeds, pests and undesirable plants on land in the CRP program.

We also support a complete re-classification of noxious weeds so that it reflects the beneficial use of such plants to pollinators.

b. Conservation Security Program (CSP)

We demand full implementation and funding of the CSP as passed by Congress in the Farm Bill. Agricultural producers should be compensated for producing and maintaining open space, wildlife habitat, watershed protection, clean air and other resources.

c. Cost Share Programs –

Environmental Quality Incentive Program (EQIP), Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program (WHIP)

RMFU supports the continuation of cost share programs that

- Target monies to family-sized operations with payment limitations per contract;
- Assist in solving natural resource challenges through proven conservation practices;
- Aid in assisting agriculture operations to co-exist with wildlife;
- Voluntarily assist farmers and ranchers to meet regulatory requirements;
- Conserve precious natural resources including soil and water.

d. Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)

We support continuation and urge full funding of the CREP program.

e. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

We support NRCS as the agency under USDA providing technical support and assistance.

7. Wool and Mohair Program

We support the reinstatement of the original revenue-generating Wool and Mohair Act.

8. Sugar Program

We support the continuation of the Sugar Program.

We urge close collaboration with the sugar beet growers associations.

9. Honey Loan Program

We support the Honey Loan Program.

F. Labeling

RMFU supports implementation and full funding of country-of-origin labeling (COOL) as passed by Congress in the Farm Bill. Domestic producers should not bear the cost of implementation of this act. All costs associated with determining and marketing foreign country-of-origin products (not born, raised and processed in the United States) shall be paid by the importers.

Imported food should carry a quality grade label and country of origin label.

We are in favor of clear and mandatory labeling of additives, meat irradiation and genetically modified organisms in all food. We further believe that there should be better labeling with respect to the amount of additives in meat and meat products.

We urge that the amounts of extenders and additives in food served in eating establishments be clearly indicated on the menu.

We recommend that all imitation and synthetic products be conspicuously labeled and displayed as such.

We support labeling of organic products in accordance with the National Organic Standards Food Production Act.

We urge the USDA Food Safety and Inspection Service to amend its regulations to require that all meat/poultry labeled as natural be required to be sourced from animals that were not administered growth hormones or sub-therapeutic antibiotics.

G. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

We urge full funding of all USDA agencies and programs and all funding appropriated to USDA and its subordinate agencies be used for its intended purpose and that monies available for loans to family farms be designated for that purpose.

H. Farm Service Agency (FSA)

We support the FSA as the primary agency within the USDA for developing, administering, and dispersing of benefits for programs and policies toward the goal of providing security for the family farm system.

RMFU is against further downsizing within the FSA and other USDA agencies as it is detrimental to rural America and the ability of the agencies to fulfill their mission.

We oppose county office consolidation, as we support each county having adequate staffing and training to provide good service to the agriculture community.

We strongly urge the continuation and strengthening of the county and community committee system for farm program administration.

We urge that program announcement dates be timely for agriculture operations and strictly adhered to.

We urge the Farm Service Agency loan programs allow cooperative equity stock to serve as collateral for farmer investment in new cooperatives.

I. Rural Development (RD)

We support multi-year funding for the Rural Business and Cooperative Development Service at levels that will sustain programs for revolving loans, grants and loan guarantees.

Sustainability of rural America depends upon an enhanced quality of life for rural people who have the ability to build competitive businesses and cooperatives.

RMFU supports increased funding for cooperative development activities and for training and technical assistance for all cooperatives.

RMFU opposes the devaluation of rural development grants.

J. Cooperative Financing

We support the expansion and improvement of the Business and Industry Loan Program (BILP) to assist producers in making equity investments in new cooperatives.

K. Federal Meat Grading and Inspections

We demand all imported meat and other foods federally inspected under U.S. health laws carry an "imported and inspected" label. All imported meat that doesn't meet USDA standards should not be allowed into the United States.

All meats imported into the United States should be inspected more stringently and equitably.

We support inspection of all meats, either domestic, imported, or non-amenable (bison, deer or elk), to ensure such products meet all USDA regulations and standards.

We support hiring additional USDA inspectors to conduct these required inspections. The USDA grading and inspection services necessary for food quality and safety should be tailored to meet the needs of individuals and cooperatives. There should be no charge for these services.

We urge continued federal funding of the meat-grading program and oppose any privatization of meat-inspection services.

We support marketing of state-inspected meats, across state lines to individuals, retailers, or food service outlets, when state meat inspections meet or surpass federal regulations.

We urge USDA to establish simplified and minimal paperwork and record keeping requirements for small USDA inspected meat packing plants to meet compliance.

We urge that an independent commission be established where small plants can appeal directives from the inspection and compliance division of the USDA meat inspection service.

We support standardizing the federal laws to determine moisture content allowance of all meat products. Any water added during processing must be plainly labeled.

We oppose any user fees for federal meat inspection services, including inspection of non-amenable species.

L. Farm Labor

The National Labor Relations Act should be extended to workers on all farms which employ enough hired help to be subject to the federal minimum wage provisions applicable to agricultural workers.

Worker protection standards should be strengthened regarding wage rates, health, safety, and housing conditions for migrant, seasonal, minority and other farm laborers, and for education of their children.

We urge Congress to work on reforming and enforcing immigration law in the interest of homeland security, rural economies, labor needs in agriculture, and burdensome costs to the American taxpayer due to services required by illegal aliens.

We support an immigration policy which will meet the labor needs of the agricultural producer, but also must meet the security needs of the United States.

We encourage any people seeking permanent residence in the United States to apply for citizenship with all the rights and responsibilities that accompany citizenship.

We support federal Ag JOBS legislation which provides access to a consistent, legal supply of seasonal workers to meet the needs of agriculture.

M. Federal School Lunch Program

We urge the government to purchase an increased amount of domestically produced basic agricultural commodities for use in the school lunch program wherever possible.

We also believe the federal government should subsidize the milk program for school children.

We urge Congress to retain and fully support a school lunch program at the federal level that follows USDA dietary guidelines.

We support the Farm to School Program to encourage the use of local farm products in the School Lunch Program but oppose the use of imported products.

N. Farm to Consumer Food Systems

We support systems like Farm-to-School (Cafeteria, College, Institution) and Share U.S.A., which facilitate the process of consumers buying food directly from farmers.

We support community-supported agriculture (CSAs) operations as another source of accessing farm fresh products.

We support CSA/subscription farms and farm-to-consumer co-ops to sell direct to restaurants, grocers, and festivals.

We support farmers markets and other local production initiatives.

O. Cooperatives

The farmer-owned cooperative is an effective institution through which farmers can reduce costs of production, maintain a reliable source of inputs, and effectively market and process their products.

We affirm our belief in the basic Rochdale Cooperative principles, in particular the one person, one vote principle, and urge Farmers Union members and organizations to provide leadership in patronage, philosophy, and operation of cooperatives. It is the individual responsibility of each Farmers Union member to be a full participant and patron in the cooperative movement and to build a closer relationship between the farm organization and cooperatives.

Concerted efforts should be made to form specialized cooperatives that allow farmers to sell locally made, value-added products directly to consumers.

We support strengthening agricultural cooperatives in the American farming system to enable farmers to achieve greater bargaining power, both in marketing and purchasing.

We support consumer food cooperatives that can provide better services through cooperative purchasing by their members.

We recommend cooperative board members, managers, and employees attend training in the philosophy of cooperatives.

We urge cooperatives to set aside a portion of their net proceeds for educational programs.

We reaffirm our support for, and oppose any changes to, the Capper-Volstead Act, passed in 1922, that allows agricultural producers to join cooperatively to market, package, transport, and buy their products.

When developing a new cooperative, we encourage at least one non-agricultural member and one person with a business background, to serve on the board of directors.

We encourage careful consideration of joint ventures between cooperatives and corporations or other entities not protected under the Capper-Volstead Act.

We oppose the double taxation of a co-op on both total savings and the producer's share of these returns as personal income.

We strongly encourage all Farmers Union members to verify that businesses calling themselves co-ops are in fact bona fide cooperatives operating under state regulations.

We will oppose any federal legislation that would make any and all cooperative financial paper, including patronage dividends, subject to the rules, regulations, and jurisdiction of the Federal Securities and Exchange Commission.

We urge that cooperative members consider the long-term consequences of selling their cooperatives for short-term goals and urge the board oppose the sale of any cooperative ventures to agribusiness or agribusinesses.

We support the efforts of the National Commission for Uniform State Laws (NCUSL) to draft a modern and standard state cooperative law to allow additional flexibility in the organization and management of these important businesses and new generation co-ops.

We encourage NCUSL, in its new draft, to preserve cooperative values and the "cooperative" brand.

P. Trade Policy

1. Foreign Policy

We recommend the United States maintain a return to positive trade balance and oppose the use of embargos and sanctions for political purposes.

We oppose exporters/importers adding foreign materials to grains and any intentional contamination of food products must be responded to with full enforcement of the law.

We support holding those who export prohibited animal products accountable, revoking their export license and urge they be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

We support legislation that would prohibit the export of pesticides not registered for food and fiber uses in the U.S. for food and fiber uses in other countries.

We strongly request the USDA work to expand foreign markets for any domestically produced farm and ranch commodities without the exploitation of developing countries by forcing them to abandon domestic food security.

We support the following provisions to improve international food assistance:

- Funding for food aid programs should be used exclusively to purchase U.S. produced food.
- Food and development aid should be assured for those here and abroad who are poor, and certainly those endangered by natural disasters and famine, to assure their survival and well-being.
- Food should be distributed once it reaches intended destination and have no tolerance for donations using poverty-stricken nations as a dumping ground for poor-quality grains.

- Adequate funding of the international school lunch program as part of the Global Food for Education Initiative.
- Food assistance programs operating in cooperation with hunger and agricultural organizations supporting economic development opportunities for people in less-developed countries.

We oppose trade agreements that override the sovereign authority of any nation.

We urge the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture to appoint active producers to the USDA agricultural trade advisory committees and that the department reimburse the cost of travel and lodging to attend committee meetings.

All imported agricultural commodities, food, feeds and fibers, must receive the same inspection as U.S. produced food and meet the same health and safety standards and regulations.

We support the removal of lead-containing metals used in the processing or storage of all imported foods.

Imported food should carry a quality grade label and country of origin label.

We demand that Congress and especially USDA, and other agencies of the Federal government, immediately stop their unilateral support of so-called free trade agreements that benefit multinational corporations, destroy our nation's food independence and sovereignty, and our proven family farm and ranch system of agriculture.

RMFU supports mandatory recall authority for the FDA.

We support the dismantling of the National Honey Board. Additionally, we support the anti-dumping petition pre-empting the dumping of foreign honey into the United States.

2. Long-term Trade Agreements

We support the inclusion of the following goals, objectives and provisions in our domestic trade policy and in trade negotiations and agreements:

- Establish trade agreements that address the cause of and need for trade distorting practices, i.e., domestic food safety and security, inadequate economic returns to producers resulting from market failure, lack of market competition, and an imbalance in supply and demand.
- Ensure global food security and safety, including the elimination of unilateral sanctions on agricultural and medical products.
- Enhance producer returns, economic development and individual standards of living.
- Establish trade agreements that acknowledge and accommodate the non-market costs/benefits and resource sustainability of agriculture.
- Create an agriculture trade environment that encourages a balance of increased and transparent market competition, limits the concentration of market power, and coordinates public competition policy to ensure the efficient and appropriate allocation of resources within all agricultural sectors.

We urge trade negotiators develop an inclusive methodology for measuring the level and impact of domestic support programs, including green box supports and effective subsidies conveyed through monetary, policy, labor and environmental regulations.

We urge establishment of trade agreements that verify all participating countries have a history of compliance with international laws on human rights.

We call upon Congress to review and evaluate all existing trade agreements regarding achieving an increase in commodity and livestock prices and in increasing transparent and public competition in all market sectors of agriculture. If improvements can not be documented, Congress is encouraged to take corrective actions, including repealing trade agreements.

We urge greater cooperation among countries to stabilize world agriculture.

We oppose fast-track negotiating authority for the President. We oppose the fast-track system of ratification of trade agreements in which the entire trade package must be approved without amendment or rejected in total by Congress within a 60-day limit.

We believe Congress should have full opportunity to review and amend provisions of a trade agreement because agriculture is only one area considered in the trade agreement negotiation and fast-track could easily sweep the concerns of agriculture aside.

Q. Federal Public Lands

We support full funding of Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and United States Forest Service (USFS) to allow for proper management and regulation of our federal lands.

We oppose the acquisition of private land by government entities without public hearings and the approval of local elected officials.

We oppose the sale or exchange of public lands currently used in agriculture production. We support increasing the Payment Program in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) on federally owned land to our county governments.

We support a law establishing a fee in lieu of taxes, similar to the federal law, on all state and municipal lands and that the fee should be returned to the counties in which the state lands are located.

1. Management of Lands

We support a workable plan, whereby our federal lands are sustained in a responsible manner in the multiple-use concept and with quality stewardship.

Public access to such lands shall only be on designated routes.

Travel Management Plans associated with public lands for designated routes must include input from adjacent landowners, permittees, and any other appropriate private and public stakeholders.

Lessee/lessor access is needed for year-round multiple-use management.

Livestock grazing management is essential to maintaining the health of the resources.

We support management of grazing on federal lands to be based on scientific data and monitoring of individual allotments.

Grazing allotments should be restricted to family farms.

We support federal laws currently banning any public entity (including municipalities or nongovernmental organizations) from owning or leasing federal grazing allotments.

We support establishing user fees for both consumptive and non-consumptive use of public lands.

We urge federal grazing fees continue to be set by the PRIA formula and increases shall not exceed the annual rate of inflation.

We support keeping local grazing committees intact to handle grazing allotment management issues disputes.

We support efforts to establish native grass banks and pollinator-friendly plants on public lands.

We oppose regulations that mandate the removal of a permittee's livestock during an administrative appeal process.

We oppose governmental agencies taking and disposing of private property, including livestock, prior to being heard in the courts, a judgment being rendered and with appeals exhausted.

We oppose permitting non-grazers to bid up the price of an allotment thus denying access to moderate-income families.

We oppose separating water from existing leases.

We support the formation of locally-owned cooperatives and businesses to harvest and process forest products.

We urge foreign companies logging public lands to use American contractors.

a) Forest Health

We urge federal forest management plans be updated to address forest health issues.

We support implementation and full funding of efforts to minimize the effects of the bark beetle epidemics on the National and State Forests throughout the Western United States. Federal, state and private programs must be approved immediately to protect open space, wildlife habitat, watersheds, clean air and other natural resources as well as infrastructure such as roads and utility lines for public safety.

2. Wild Horse Population

The Bureau of Land Management should be required to annually survey and manage the wild horse and burro population to minimize damage to private and public lands.

We support USDA-inspected horse and burro adoption program.

R. New Agricultural Production Technologies

We urge consideration of the whole range of social, economic and ecological consequences before implementation of new agricultural production technologies.

We urge the use of extreme caution in the introduction and use of GMO (Genetically Modified Organisms) products until independent scientific research proves that they are safe both ecologically and for human and animal consumption.

We oppose the introduction of GMOs used as pharmaceuticals until independent scientific research proves that they are safe both ecologically and for human and animal consumption.

We oppose the introduction of GMOs that produce sterile offspring and support the right of farmers to plant seed derived from proprietary organisms on their own land.

We support legislation similar to the Hatch-Waxman Act of 1984, which encouraged true, generic competition in off-patent drugs, and to encourage and allow the development and use of off-patent crop protection chemicals.

We oppose the use of Bovine Growth Hormone (BGH) (BST).

We oppose the use of imported Milk Protein Concentrate.

1. Agricultural Research

We urge those in agricultural research, particularly land grant universities, to intensify their efforts to develop farm technology which enhances the relationship between people and the land, protects the

environment, separates agricultural research from dependence on multi-national/national corporations and fosters the well-being of family farmers.

We recommend increasing the funding for agricultural research.

2. Small Business Development Authority

We support the concept of a small business development authority that would allow tax incentives for the development of new technology and innovative uses of agricultural products.

3. Genetic Patents

We support legislation exempting farmers and ranchers from payment of royalties on offspring of patented animals and plants.

We oppose the commercial use of the terminal gene.

We support establishing and maintaining foundation seed banks.

S. Livestock Health

We demand USDA Animal, Plant, Health Inspection Service (APHIS) and other research organizations actively pursue research to clearly establish transmission modes, vaccination regimes and protocol for vesicular stomatitis.

We request quarantine restrictions be reevaluated.

We demand all cattle entering the United States from foreign soil be tested for all classes of diseases.

We oppose the importation of ruminant animal, or products from a country that does not have an enforceable ban on animal by-products, used in feed that meets USDA standards.

We request APHIS provide necessary resources to control and prevent disease spread in outbreak situations in consultation with affected state livestock health professionals.

We demand all federal and state agencies charged with wildlife management take steps to eradicate brucellosis and Chronic Wasting Disease from all wildlife under their control.

We require full market value compensation for domestically raised game and other livestock destroyed by the government on private and commercial ranches.

We support the "Keep Antibiotics Working Campaign" which states that continuous sub-therapeutic antibiotic use as a feed additive should be banned and that medically important antibiotics should not be used as feed additives.

We urge Congress to ensure that the Plum Island Research Facility remain at Plum Island, New York and not be moved to any United States mainland location.

T. Animal Identification

We oppose any mandatory animal identification program designed to force livestock producers to register their premises through 4-H, FFA, or fair programs.

We also urge state universities or other entities to rescind the requirement that all 4-H and FFA members participate in NAIS to be eligible to show at county and state fairs.

RMFU opposes any premise registration and electronic or biometric identification program that

- Is mandatory;
- Establishes another level of regulation and an expensive level of registering premises and/or animals;

- Interferes with producers' privacy and proprietary information;
- Has the potential to undermine market opportunities.

We oppose any animal ID program that results in a shift from disease control to monitoring disease through traceability. RMFU believes our states have workable methods of monitoring livestock diseases and safeguarding animal health.

U. Domestic Horse Population

We support USDA slaughter and processing of equine species for meat and export.

We urge legislation that will provide for better disposition of captured feral horses and burros, specifically the sale of the animals with immediate ownership at local auction markets.

V. Endangered Species Act

We support an Endangered Species Act that takes input from landowners, tribal and elected officials from a large geographic area to get an Endangered Species designation.

We oppose the application of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) critical habitat designation to habitat that is not occupied by any listed species.

We oppose the reintroduction of predatory animals in areas where they may have an adverse effect on agricultural operations.

We demand that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service require the use of scientific data in species determination before listing can occur.

We demand federal funds be made available to state or federal agencies to manage endangered species and just compensation for producers with ESA habitat and/or for losses incurred. The delisting process should automatically be triggered when species targets identified in the listing document are reached.

We support delisting of the grizzly bear and all wolf species by Fish and Wildlife Service under the Endangered Species Act.

We support keeping prairie dogs off the endangered species list.

W. Animal Welfare

We support teaching animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights, through educational programs and agricultural organizations.

We support humane treatment of all domesticated animals, and their access to proper food, shelter and water for survival.

X. International Relations

1. United Nations

We urge the United States government to continue its support of the United Nations with other nations providing their proportional share.

2. Democracy and Non-Violence

We support a progressive, nonviolent farm movement that is committed to justice for all people of this nation and the world.

3. World Food

We recommend that America continue its leadership role in providing food reserves to help in times of famine and natural disaster with the cost being shared by all developed countries.

We support programs that distribute and assure delivery of food to the needy.

Article II. Economic and Tax Policy

Farm policy advanced by some agribusiness groups promotes corporate consolidation, eliminates fair markets, and creates an uneven economic playing field for small, minority and independent family producers. Such groups, who receive substantial monetary benefits from the public sector, should encourage and welcome public scrutiny into their business operations. They have profited greatly from special tax privileges. We urge Congress to investigate these issues with the protection of rural America and its heritage in mind.

A. Tax Reform

We urge Congress to continue the task of tax revision. Changes should include the following:

- Provide federal income tax credits or refunds if no tax liability exists for the payment of state and local property taxes.
- Reform of the capital gains tax to include an exemption on the sale of farm real estate comparable to the exemption provided on the sale of a residence.
- Reduction or elimination of the capital gains tax for a seller when land and machinery is sold to a beginning farmer at a reduced rate or the seller finances the purchase at a discounted interest rate.
- Allow a one-time per person rollover of the capital gains from the sale of a farm or small business into an approved retirement plan.
- Allow the cost of health insurance coverage for self-employed people to be fully deductible for income tax purposes on Schedule C or Schedule F.
- Tax credits incurred through the sale of conservation easements, transferable development rights, etc., should be transferable to those who have a tax liability.

We oppose the use of IRS for political intimidation.

B. Value-Added Tax

We oppose any form of value-added tax.

C. Estate Tax

We support an exemption system for estate taxes which allows family farms to continue to exist. We oppose shifting tax liability from the estate tax to the capital gains tax through the elimination of the "step-up" provision within the capital gains tax policy.

D. Internet Tax

We oppose any taxation for the use of the Internet.

E. Commodity Check-off Programs

We support research, promotion and education programs financed by voluntary contributions by producers of agricultural commodities, only if all of the following criteria are met:

- Disbursement of funds collected and operations of the program are controlled by boards of producers elected by the producers assessed.
- Approval is by a majority of producers voting on the referendum by mail, with spouses allowed to vote individually.
- Programs being formulated or currently in operation be subject to a five-year Congressional sunset review.
- Collection on sales will have a provision for refund.

- Criminal penalties should be assessed against those who use funds for political or lobbying activities.
- Major and significant changes in existing check-off programs should be submitted to the producers affected and subject to approval by a two-thirds vote.
- After a federal check-off program is in effect, all review referenda should be financed and conducted by the federal government.
- Whenever a commodity check-off is assessed on American producers, we recommend the same fee be assessed on imports of that commodity with no refund allowed. Importers and/or non-producers should not be entitled to voting representation.

We call for a full Congressional investigation to completely review the operations of federally-sanctioned commodity check-off programs and for Congress to issue a public report on their effectiveness, including a complete accounting of all funds collected and disbursed. As part of this review, we request that Congress hold public hearings throughout the nation to allow producers an opportunity to participate.

We oppose mandatory commodity check-off programs other than those periodically approved by producer vote.

F. Commodity Futures Markets

We support producer education in the use of commodity futures markets. Any illegal activities by commodity futures traders and speculators should be dealt with by the full enforcement of the law.

G. Farm Credit System (FCS)

We support a strong Farm Credit system.

We oppose the purchase of any FCS bank or portion of the banking system by any non-FCS entity.

We oppose the FCS retaining mineral rights on property foreclosed upon.

H. Warehousing

We urge Congress to take whatever legislative action is necessary to ensure that stored commodities shall remain the property of those persons who delivered them for storage.

Warehouses must be required to issue negotiable warehouse receipts upon request.

RMFU supports the right of individual states to regulate the grain merchandising activities of warehouses licensed by the federal government under the Grain Standards and Warehouse Improvement Act 2000 (USWA).

I. Vertical Integration and Price Fixing

We demand the Secretary of Agriculture and the Justice Department investigate the concentration of power and vertical integration in the packing, marketing, processing, and crop and livestock input industries.

We demand the aforementioned authorities prohibit large corporate meat packers from operating feedlots and/or owning and having captive supply livestock.

We support the ability of producers to own value-added processing and crop and livestock input marketing facilities within a cooperative structure.

We demand enforcement of the existing anti-trust laws to break up corporate concentration in our food production, marketing, and crop and livestock input systems.

J. Federal Reserve

Appointments to the Federal Reserve Board should be limited to a term of five years, and one-half of the appointees should represent segments of the economy other than banking, including one bona fide family farmer.

We support an annual audit of the Federal Reserve System.

K. Regulating Risk in the Financial Services Industry

We support the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933 and urge a re-regulation of the financial services industry.

L. Community Financial Institutions

We support community financial institutions through federal regulatory relief and forbearance that allows community financial institutions to work with family farms, ranches, and small businesses borrowers.

Article III. Utilities, Conservation, Energy, and Development Policy

A. Conservation

We encourage responsible stewardship of land and water, and to incorporate appropriate conservation practices.

We insist that all mining, logging, and petroleum exploration operations restore the surface to its original or higher land classification with native or prior vegetation.

We support full funding and fund dispersment of the abandoned mines reclamation program.

We support local participation and control in the permitting process for conservation and construction projects.

We recommend an increased emphasis on reclamation and re-establishment of riparian zones.

We urge the reuse and/or recycling of all products possible.

We insist that all open and unused water wells, seismograph holes, and oil and gas wells, be covered or filled to prevent underground pollution and to eliminate danger to people and animals.

We support monitoring for chemical and biological contamination levels in shallow and porous aquifers and efforts to remediate contamination where it exists.

We support environmentally sound forest management which addresses forest health issues.

B. Pests and Noxious Weeds

We urge rigid enforcement of laws to control noxious weeds and pests on all lands. We recommend increased funding of cost-share programs to control noxious weeds and pests be established.

We also support re-classification of noxious weeds so that it consider the beneficial use of such plants to pollinators.

We support local education programs designed for the identification and management of noxious weeds and pests.

C. Predator and Pest Control

We request that the EPA improve the process enabling effective use of poisons and other control tools for predators and pests.

D. Rural Utility Services (RUS)

We support the rural utility cooperatives and oppose their privatization.

We encourage Congress to keep the RUS Revolving Fund intact.

We urge that Congress grant rural utility co-ops territorial protection.

We encourage RUS and we direct REAs and their generation and transmission providers to expand their portfolio to include clean energy production, energy efficiencies, and demand-side management.

We demand efforts to provide competitively priced high-speed broadband Internet access in rural communities.

We oppose retail wheeling.

E. Telecommunications

We support the efforts of rural utility service co-ops to provide rural cable television or satellite dish service to their members.

We oppose the merger of rural cable television or satellite dish services but support the development of cooperative cable television systems to rural sectors.

We urge the establishment and implementation of the Universal Internet Standard Code, attached to the web site source, to identify the content of all commercial information over the Internet.

We support enhanced 911 emergency telephone service in rural areas.

We support greater uniformity of cellular (mobile) telephone service and user rates, as well as uniformity in roaming rates applied outside the local service area of the user.

F. Power Utilities

We oppose electric deregulation at the federal and state level.

We oppose any sale of Power Marketing Authorities.

We support the allocation of Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) power for cooperatives.

We are opposed to any surcharge on hydroelectric rates.

We oppose changing historic use of stored water in relation to power generation.

We urge development of hydroelectric power-generated energy with appropriate safeguards for the environment, agricultural land and water rights.

We recommend the modernization and updating of existing hydroelectric plants to provide maximum electrical energy, especially in the western states, with any increase of energy allocated to preference power users. Further, we urge the development of secondary hydro generation structures at existing dam sites to at least double current energy production.

We support net metering. We support uniform interconnectivity standards that allow for farm and ranch operations to fully utilize existing system capacity.

G. Water

We demand state primacy in agricultural and individual water rights within their jurisdiction.

We oppose the use of federal environmental law or water law as a method for re-allocation or restriction of water rights acquired pursuant to state law.

We support legislation to prevent the removal of water from the basin of origin without a complete impact statement concerning the affect on agriculture, environment and economic stability. The basin of origin must be compensated for any water removed.

We strenuously oppose any efforts by the federal government through the usage or expansion of a national water policy and the Endangered Species Act to usurp the rights and prerogatives of individual states, irrigation districts and power generation facilities.

Water rights should not be created with wilderness and National Park designation except those adjudicated by state law.

We support relaxing of EPA standards regarding silt runs for irrigation purposes.

Farmers should not assume higher costs for irrigation water due to in-stream flow for recreation or habitat enhancement for EPA listings.

We oppose the augmentation of surface waters that exceed the natural capacity of the channel, excluding runoff events, by Coal-Bed Methane and other produced water discharges.

H. Native American Water Rights

We support appropriate water development or just compensation to meet the water appropriations of Native American water rights, in order to protect current agricultural water users.

We urge any irrigation project developed on tribal land to be used by Native American family farmers and not operated as a corporate farm or business.

I. Water Quality

We urge state enforcement of sole source aquifer regulations.

We recognize the desirability of protecting the quality of our water and assuring suitability for beneficial uses.

We support state regulation and enforcement of water quality standards on water produced and discharged from oil and gas operations and urban water discharges.

We oppose the use of potable water in oil/mineral extraction and processing.

We urge water produced in Coal-Bed Methane (CBM) development meet existing state water quality standards for surface water discharge. Laws requiring mitigation of impacts associated with CBM water must be established to protect adjacent landowners.

We urge municipalities and other outlying areas be ensured equal access to a domestically-owned safe drinking water supply.

We support the enhancing and maintaining of water quality in rivers and streams to protect our environment.

J. Federal Water Projects

We urge that the economic impact on agriculture be considered before approving any new federally-funded water projects.

K. Energy

1. Research and Development

We recommend the development and implementation of a comprehensive national energy policy which will make the United States energy independent and promote conservation.

We support continued research and development of natural, non-polluting, renewable energy sources, including but not limited to cellulosic ethanol.

We urge individuals and companies to make their homes and businesses more energy efficient.

We oppose efforts to initiate commercial leasing of federal shale resources before effectiveness of and impacts from research, development and demonstration technologies are known.

We support public utilities and rural electricians exploring other energy sources for generation.

2. Alternative and Renewable Energy

RMFU supports a balanced energy policy that conserves and protects our nation's environment and recognizes the special energy needs of America's agricultural sector.

We support the development of renewable sources of energy as our top priority in reducing dependence on fossil fuels.

We recommend the extension of federal energy tax credits to individuals for all forms of alternative and renewable energy in a form that encourages community farm and ranch owned production.

We support farmer and rancher ownership and involvement in alternative energies.

We support a nationwide renewable energy standard and renewable fuels standard.

We support the establishment of a wide variety of renewable energy sources that include but are not limited to wind, solar, bio-mass, hydro, hydrogen and any other available source. It is important to encourage the land grant and community colleges to focus their research on those cropping systems that provide renewable energy. Federal and state funds should be targeted to encourage diversified community based energy systems that create jobs and new wealth in rural areas of the country.

RMFU offers full support and endorsement of the national campaign, 25X25. This initiative urges that programs and incentives be established at the state and national levels to enable the United States to produce 25 percent of its own energy from renewable sources by 2025.

We support renewable energy developmental rights remain with surface owners.

We support maximum opportunities for wind development through cooperative wind associations.

We support a tradable federal production tax credit for locally-owned projects.

We urge all states to require bonding for removal and reclamation for all renewable energy projects.

We strongly support increased federal investment in transmission capacity, including merchant lines. We support agriculture being unregulated in any climate change legislation.

3. Legislation

We support legislation to increase the use of bio-based fuels.

We demand antitrust legislation be enforced to control monopolies in energy production and distribution, and investigation of large corporate interests that control our current energy sources.

4. Nuclear

We support the use of nuclear power with safe and proper methods of waste disposal and transportation.

5. Petroleum

We oppose EPA's classification of crankcase oil and other lubricants as hazardous waste.

RMFU supports Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency (CAFE) standards as it has wide-ranging benefits resulting from less fuel consumption.

6. Coal Gasification

We support the development of Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) technologies in coal-fired power generation.

L. Greenhouse Gas Mitigation

We support the trading of carbon credits and the potential for inclusion of carbon sequestration and methane capture as agricultural conservation practices.

RMFU supports carbon sequestration research. This should encompass all practices, including grazing lands, energy feedstock production, organic cropping, wood lots, CRP, no till, and other proven conservation methods.

We support the use of the USDA or their entities to manage the inspection/verification of carbon credits on agricultural lands, as opposed to the EPA.

We demand verification and regulation by the USDA, not the EPA, of any agriculture regulation related to climate change legislation.

RMFU supports state and national greenhouse gas reduction targets that use a voluntary incentive-based approach to continue the economic viability of farms and ranches.

M. Alternative Fuels

We support assistance to family farms, ranches, and cooperatives in obtaining grants and financial aid in developing bio-fuel plants.

We support the increase of federal tax credits on all alternative fuels.

We encourage the utilization of oxygenated fuel, such as ethanol, to achieve improved air quality and reduce dependence on foreign energy imports.

We support increasing the blend of domestically produced ethanol in all gasoline sold in the United States.

We encourage the use of biodiesel to decrease our dependency on foreign fuel.

We support mandating a federal bio-fuels standard.

N. Alternative Crops

We support the decoupling of industrial hemp from the definition of marijuana under the Controlled Substances Act of 1970.

We demand the President and the Attorney General direct the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) to differentiate between industrial

hemp and marijuana and adopt a policy to allow American farmers to grow industrial hemp under state law without requiring DEA licenses.

We support assistance to family farms, ranches, and cooperatives in obtaining grants and financial aid in developing of bio-fuel crops.

We support research and development of less-water-intensive crops.

We urge land grant universities to maintain agricultural extension and research programs which focus on alternative crop production for renewable energy and other uses for those crops.

O. Regulatory Agencies

We urge Congress to enact a federal sunset law, relative to federal regulations and a federal regulatory agency.

We oppose administrative agencies prohibiting the use of agricultural chemicals without extensive research that prove their detrimental effects.

We support periodic review of regulations set forth by federal agencies.

We support adequate worker safety standards but urge the EPA's policy be reopened and rewritten to ease the financial and regulatory burden upon agricultural producers.

We recommend federal resource management agencies work together to form a single definition for wetlands and/or riparian zones.

We oppose regulatory actions that infringe on private property rights and do not provide compensation for affected landowners.

We demand compliance and enforcement of current anti-pollution laws.

We oppose administrative agencies regulating agricultural practices without extensive research proving their detrimental effects.

P. Transportation

1. Railroads

We support the publication of existing freight rates.

We urge railroads, elevators, and terminals to work together to provide hopper cars in sufficient quantities in a timely manner to alleviate harvest delays.

We oppose additional mergers and abandonment of service, and demand breakup of existing railroad monopolies.

We urge the expansion of the national passenger railway service.

We urge railroads paint or put reflecting tape on the sides of all railroad cars, making them visible at night.

We urge the addition of warning lights and dropping arms at all major railroad crossings.

We urge continued research on railroad crossing technology and safety.

We recommend that railroads be required to maintain safe tracks and auto crossings.

We urge railroads to put a rotating light on all lead engines to distinguish from car lights.

We urge railroads to abide by Federal Railroad Administration guidelines not to impede grade crossings.

2. Highways

We urge passage of laws mandating washout requirements for all carriers prior to hauling food-grade loads.

We support the completion of the "Port to Plains" highway system.

We oppose the proposed construction of the North American Free Trade Agreement Superhighway system.

We support additional federal highway funds for rural transportation, planning, development, and maintenance of roads and bridges.

We insist that all foreign trucks on U.S. highways meet the same standards as U.S. carriers.

We recommend a nationwide standardization of the axle weights and lengths on all interstate highways: 36,000 lbs. per tandem and 20,000 lbs. per single axle.

We recommend a maximum width standard of 8' -6" and a length standard of 57' -4" maximum for semi trailers.

We urge the Federal Highway Administration consider standardizing the maximum width, length and weight for Longer Combination Vehicles (LVC) to help equalize state to state commerce. This should include input from agricultural representation from each state.

We support requiring mud flaps on vehicles over 6,000 pounds of unladen weight.

We support compliance with child restraint and seat belt laws in motor vehicles.

3. Mass Transit

We urge development of mass transit systems.

Q. Waste Disposal

1. Toxic Waste

We oppose the practice of land filling with hazardous waste.

We demand the detoxification or neutralization of hazardous waste as opposed to reconcentrating the waste in another locality. No purchase, construction, or use of proposed toxic waste sites shall be allowed before approval by county and state health officials, EPA and county commissioners in the county where disposal is to take place.

2. Non-Toxic Waste

We support efforts in the legislature to require industry be accountable for the wastes they generate.

3. Recycling

We support recycling of all crankcase oil and other lubricants.

We favor recycling and re-use of all products.

We encourage development of recycling and composting industries in rural areas.

We encourage the creation of incentives to increase the use of recyclable materials.

4. Pollution Prevention

We support policies that create incentives to avoid production of waste rather than policies to remediate the production of waste.

R. Rural Revitalization

We support rural development that expands our present agricultural economic base and focuses on value-added processing for agricultural crops, livestock and renewable energy.

S. Infrastructure Ownership

We oppose foreign ownership or control of any infrastructure items, including but not limited to highways, airlines, railroads, public or private utilities, and energy production.

Article IV. Educational and Social Policy

A. Education

We urge the Secretary of the Department of Education give full and complete attention to the unique problems and programs of rural and small community schools. In addition, there should be emphasis on the broad aspects of rural life, including special education for the underprivileged, for those who are mentally retarded, and for those with disabilities.

We support agricultural education, personal resource development, vocational technical training programs, and other occupational preparation courses as an integral part of our public educational system that should be available to all who will enter the work force from the public schools.

We recommend that more education about cooperatives be introduced into the total educational system.

We support programs teaching consumers about the marketing disparity in agricultural products between the producer and consumer.

We support educational programs and distribution of educational materials and curricula to the public which promote American family farms' commitment to food quality and safety, ethical farm practices, a safe and healthful environment, land and water stewardship, and sustainability of food supplies.

Financial aid should not be dependent on the value of the farm but on the family's annual net income.

We support funding (maintaining of Perkins funds) for vocational education at the secondary and post-secondary level and drivers' education programs.

We urge all state legislatures to make drivers' education a mandatory class for all students one semester before receiving their driver's license.

We support the extension of broadband capacity for high speed internet to all schools equivalent to the services available in urban areas.

B. Land Grant Universities

We demand the land grant universities recommit to their original mission and purpose.

We demand the governing board be made up of at least 50 percent family farmers.

We urge land grant universities to maintain agricultural extension and research programs that

- Utilize the experience of farmers and ranchers;
- Respect the agricultural practices of the traditional native people;
- Facilitate adding value to farm products in locally-owned cooperatives and businesses, and empowers low and moderate-income families to improve their economic conditions;
- Develop training and outreach to assist small-acreage ranchette owners in understanding and implementing proper resource management practices;

- Focus research on crops suitable for efficient renewable energy production.

C. Nutrition Programs

We support continuation of the Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) National Farmers Market Nutrition Program.

We support reauthorization and full funding of federal nutrition programs under the auspices of the USDA such as School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, Summer Feeding Program, and Special Supplemental Food Program for WIC.

We support continuation of the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) program.

We support education of elderly about the Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT) program.

We support the writing of gleaning bills that enable farmers to donate produce to organizations for distribution to the needy and the poor and to take a tax credit for such donations.

We support the WIC program as well as the senior citizen nutrition program to provide mothers, children and senior citizens with food from farmers markets.

We support food education programs such as Slow Foods and local food nutrition training.

D. National Health Insurance

We support a workable, affordable, and standardized national health insurance available to all citizens.

We urge insurance companies to provide coverage for preventative care.

We demand ending discrimination in insurance based on pre-existing condition or gender. We also support the elimination of payment caps. We support the deductibility of premiums for small businesses and the self-employed.

E. Medical Care

We support the right of every American to have access to affordable and quality medical, dental, hospice, and mental health services.

We support the right of every American to have access to quality, affordable end-of-life care.

We support lowering health costs through disease prevention, wellness promotion, nutrition, and alternative healthcare practices.

We support federal appropriations for medical research, public health services, and scholarships for training all health professionals.

We support federal funding for Medicare and Medicaid.

We support federal funding for rural health clinics.

We oppose federal and state regulations that hinder emergency medical technicians and fire department services.

We urge priority be given to building assisted-living facilities for older citizens.

We are opposed to unnecessary hospital construction or expansion and duplication of sophisticated hospital equipment.

We urge expanded use of physician assistants or nurse practitioners in areas that cannot support a medical doctor.

We oppose removal of mandated health benefits.

We support cost containment of pharmaceuticals and healthcare services.

We support incentives for medical students who agree to serve medically underserved areas after graduation.

We urge local medical facilities and hospitals to provide medical care for the indigent and working poor who do not qualify for Medicare or Medicaid or do not have their own health insurance.

We urge the Medicare program to include the treatment of long-term illness as a covered benefit.

We support changing the Medicare Part A hospice benefit so that it is dependent upon physician certification rather than a specified time limit.

We demand the federal government allow the purchase of prescription drugs from the least expensive source.

We support the reauthorization and expansion of the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP).

F. Social Security

We support the principles of the social security program, the cost of living increases, and the surviving spouse receiving full social security benefits from their spouse's account.

We oppose the loss of benefits after remarriage, deductions from pensions when social security payments are raised, and inequities in the social security program when joint tax returns are filed.

We oppose any proposal to tax social security benefits, the use of social security funds for purposes other than social security payments, and putting social security funds into private investment.

G. Social Services

We support statutory provisions that will fairly and appropriately divide the assets and incomes of couples when one spouse is institutionalized.

We urge the social services system to commit resources necessary to provide services to the rural poor.

We support distributing surplus Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) agricultural commodities to the needy.

H. Veterans' Benefits

We support full implementation and funding of veterans' benefits.

We support medical research and localized care for our veterans.

I. Postal Service and Rates

We urge that the Postal Service coordinate its mail delivery system so that all destinations in the state receive increased efficiency in mail delivery service.

We recommend that the U.S. Postal Service seek out consumer advice in initiating any changes in postal service.

We oppose the closing of third and fourth-class post offices without majority approval of local patrons. If they are closed, provisions should be made for patrons to receive their mail by rural free delivery.

We oppose any increase in postal rates in excess of inflation for all classes of mail.

We urge daily mail delivery service Monday through Saturday to all areas.

We urge Congress to block any efforts to privatize the U.S. Postal Service.

J. Congressional and Presidential Elections

We support a single national primary election day.

We support a cap on federal election spending and all campaigns be publicly financed.

We support a limit on political action committee (PAC) and personal contributions to campaigns.

We support a campaign length limit of six months for all political campaigns.

We oppose any Congressional exemption from laws passed.

Any presidential candidate who receives federal funds must be included in public debates.

We support that telephone "do not call" lists apply to campaign calls (recorded or live).

We support the elimination of "527" organizations.

We support limiting the amount of money spent by candidates in federal, state and local elections.

We support full disclosure of all monies spent on campaigns.

We support efficient processes that promote ease and access to voting by all citizens.

COLORADO STATE POLICY 2010

Article I. Land

A. Land Use

We support member involvement in planning, zoning, and other policy-making decisions.

We support enforcement of statutes that protect landowner rights and rights to privacy, as well as statutes that prevent trespassing and takings.

We support the use of residential cluster development on less productive land to protect, in perpetuity, land for continued agricultural use.

We support any additional costs and demands for increased services created by residential and commercial development be paid for the developer.

We oppose unsustainable growth in rural areas.

We support local control in determining the impacts of natural resource development on the health, safety, and welfare of its citizens and community.

We urge the implementation of adequate impact fees on new growth that affects agricultural operations.

The public should be provided with an impact statement on proposed development.

We oppose increasing the size exemption on the 35-acre rule.

1. Agricultural Land Preservation

We support allowing the use of transferable development rights, conservation easements, and other tools that will keep agricultural lands in production while also providing open space.

We support compensation for agricultural producers who maintain open space, wildlife habitat, watershed protection, clean air, and other natural resource conservation.

We encourage land trusts to accept farmer or community-owned renewable energy power generation equipment as part of easement agreements.

We support stronger oversight over conservation easements.

We support working with organizations proposing to work with RMFU to initiate a pilot program that may serve as a model for a statewide land link program.

B. Economic Development

We support the use of nonproductive lands in agricultural areas for nonagricultural uses provided it maintains or creates a harmonious environment in the community and does not place an undue burden on local economies and local governments.

We support an infrastructure that promotes stable economic systems that preserve rural character.

C. State Lands

We support a State Land Board policy on access to state trust lands, which gives the current surface lessee authority to control public access.

We recommend that the State Land Board follow the recommendations of the local soil conservation districts before any state pasturelands are plowed for farming purposes.

We support the State Land Board policy of having one principal lessee on trust lands. Multiple use and agricultural uses should be continued on all lands currently in agricultural or grazing use.

We oppose the development of any county mandated land use plan that diminishes the opportunity for agricultural producers to maximize the value of their agricultural land.

We urge that the current lessee maintain their preferential right on their current lease.

We oppose lessee assessment of any property taxes on property owned by the state. We support the state paying appropriate local property tax on state lands equivalent to the surrounding area.

D. Eminent Domain

We oppose state laws which allow condemnation of property for private toll roads or the condemnation of private property for private gain.

We support the repeal of any such state laws.

We demand the state legislature pass laws to counteract the U.S. Supreme Court ruling (*Kelo v. City of New London*) allowing state or local condemnation of private land for private gain.

E. Corporate Farming

We support legislation that prohibits ownership by non-U.S.-residents of agricultural operations.

We support the re-enacting of HB 86-1284, the Agricultural Homestead Protection and Rural Economic Stabilization Act.

We are opposed to processing corporations owning any agricultural land not necessary for production or distribution.

F. Oil and Minerals

We support an assessment of taxes on severed mineral rights that will adequately fund infrastructure needs for affected communities and the state.

We demand mineral rights if not leased revert to the surface owner if the holder of the severed mineral rights does not declare ownership or is delinquent on taxes for three years. If such mineral rights have returned to the surface holder, there should be no separate tax on said mineral rights.

We support the current effort to stop any open-pit cyanide gold mines from being licensed in the state of Colorado, in order to protect our valuable natural resources, including our ground and surface water.

We urge the passage of legislation that gives local governments the authority to regulate off-site impacts of oil and gas development to ensure that companies are in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations prior to issuing drilling permits.

We support a landowner bill of rights.

We oppose efforts to initiate commercial leasing of federal shale resources before effectiveness of and impacts from research, development, and demonstration technologies are known.

We encourage meaningful participation by local governments and the public in the federal leasing process.

We support adequate funding for state agencies with regulatory authority over oil shale development.

We support lessees of oil or mineral rights paying for all entries on the land abstracts and all transfers if lease is resold or divided.

We oppose the sale of federal oil shale lands and mineral rights.

We support enforcement of monitoring meters on oil and gas wells for purpose of severance tax collection.

We support the right to explore non-productive oil and gas zones with a primary lease.

We demand that any mining operation must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that they will not harm water, air, or soil quality, or public health, before they are given the required local and state permits to commence their operation.

We demand that royalty payments be issued within 180 days of completion of drilling of a new well.

G. Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission

We support a working relationship with the Colorado Oil and Gas Conservation Commission (COGCC) and agriculture agencies to forge productive options and solutions to severed mineral development compensation.

We support equal representation by non-industry citizens on the COGCC, including a representative of the health industry and an agricultural landowner.

We support reasonable and fair compensation to surface owners for damage caused by mineral development, especially for those circumstances involving a split estate, as well as legal recourse available for disputes to all citizens of the United States.

Compensation to the surface owner should cover the decrease in fair market value of the surface estate reasonably expected to result from oil and gas operations. Determination of decreased fair market value shall be limited to the portion of the surface estate directly associated with oil and gas operations and should include the loss of agriculture production and income, lost land value, loss of use of access to the surface owner's land, and loss of value of improvements.

We believe that the development of the natural resources of oil and gas in the state of Colorado should be regulated for the protection of public health, safety, welfare and the environment.

Article II. Water

A. Preservation of Agricultural Water

We support the development of a statewide water plan based on consultation with the inter-basin roundtables.

We support the Water Conservation Board's Statewide Water Supply Initiative (SWSI) that was formed to assess the water supply-and-demand situation in the state.

We urge the state engineer to notify all individual water right holders of any modification to policy or regulations within a water basin which is directly affected by that decision.

In water diversion issues, we support top priority for agricultural water, as long as such projects do not cause loss or injury to existing agricultural operations.

We urge the state to return the management of rivers and wells back to the authority of the state engineer. We support the management of water to allow aquifers to recharge.

We support incentives and funding for cities to build land treatment sewage plants if they are not injurious, to either quality or quantity, to water users that have a priority for the use of the water.

We support efforts to develop programs for conservation of water.

We demand adoption of legislation to protect agricultural and individual water rights. We demand municipalities pursue other alternatives for water supplies, including conservation and water projects, before acquiring agricultural water.

We support the continuation of the SNOTEL measuring stations throughout Colorado.

We support compensation not limited to federal crop insurance to farmers when water rights have been curtailed, since the affected crops are planted without knowledge that water would become unavailable.

We demand that development projects provide proof of a sustainable water supply before being issued permits to build.

B. Water Diversion

We support cooperation with other states to preserve the water allocated under existing compacts.

In water diversion issues, we support top priority for agricultural water, as long as such projects do not cause loss or injury to existing agricultural operations.

We urge that when water is diverted from one area to another, those receiving the benefits of such diversion pay for any damage, including but not limited to increased salinity, decreased quality and quantity, and recreational and business income losses.

We support local control of water resources within the confines of the prior appropriations doctrine.

C. Raw Water Projects

We urge the Colorado state legislature to continue the use of the Water Conservation Board construction fund to rehabilitate dam structures and increase storage/holding capacity.

We urge the legislature to provide a fund for raw water projects, including

- Construction of new dams, or rehabilitation and enlargement of existing dams;
- Rehabilitation or construction of agricultural water supply systems including diversion dams, ditches, and head gates.

D. Water Projects

We support those water projects that retain water for use in the state of Colorado and encourage construction of additional projects which are directly beneficial to agriculture and the economy of our state.

We support ground water recharging for maximum beneficial use of water rights.

We oppose any plan to divert any more water from the San Luis Valley, Western Slope and Arkansas River basin within the confines of the prior appropriations doctrine.

Municipalities, individuals, corporations, or any other entities that buy agricultural water rights must return the former irrigated land to a stable non-erodible condition.

We oppose any privatization of public water systems or sanitation systems.

We demand election of directors of the Republican River Water Conservation Board shall be by special irrigation assessment payers within that district.

We support an impact fee of \$1,000 for new houses or \$500 per unit in multi-family units, and \$2,000 per house with new well, to be used to fund future water storage projects.

E. Water Quality

Farmers Union should closely monitor and participate in regulatory proceedings establishing standards for preserving the quality of surface and underground water for fairness and equality for agricultural producers.

We urge everyone to use best management practices, in both metropolitan and rural areas, to minimize surface and ground water contamination.

We support identification of and restrictions being placed on the true source of contamination.

We support vigorous enforcement of laws and regulations designed to protect the water quality on all Colorado rivers and their tributaries from sewage discharges and storm water runoff.

We support the Water Quality Control Commission and ask that they maintain their regulation of water discharges from energy exploration and operations.

We urge water produced in Coal-Bed Methane (CBM) development meet existing state water quality standards for water quality discharge. Laws requiring mitigation of impacts associated with CBM water must be established to protect adjacent landowners.

F. Soil and Water Conservation

We urge the continuation of a strong relationship with the Colorado Association of Conservation Districts (CACD) to help maintain present programs and to implement new programs for conserving our natural resources.

Article III. Alternative & Renewable Energy

We call on the department of natural resources to develop a comprehensive energy policy.

RMFU supports a balanced energy policy that conserves and protects our nation's environment and recognizes the special energy needs of America's agricultural sector.

We ask for state support of alternative and renewable types of distributed power systems. Such state support should include net-metering legislation that allows small-scale energy producers to supply their excess energy to the grid, tax credits, and a buy-down program aimed toward these new systems. The state should encourage energy efficient design and Energy-Star rated appliances for all new construction.

We support incentives for any renewable energy industry.

A. Bio-Fuels

We support the Colorado bio-fuels industry and the use of alternative crops for energy use.

We urge the use of ethanol-based fuels of at least 92 octane year-round.

We support the further development and distribution of E-85 fuel and biodiesel.

B. Wind

We encourage the individual or cooperative development and ownership of wind energy and co-generation production; in cases of development, we support fair reimbursement to landowners.

C. Solar

We encourage the development of solar energy in Colorado.

D. Net-Metering Policy

RMFU supports requiring Rural Electric Associations (REA) to increase net metering for agricultural operations and rural businesses.

We support power purchase agreements established through feed-in tariffs and standard offers for locally owned renewable energy projects economically viable and provide benefits to the energy grid through distributed energy production.

E. Financial Incentives

We support the creation of a Renewable Energy Trust Fund to finance locally-owned renewable energy projects and agricultural projects, to be funded by severance taxes from new oil and gas production.

We support a state tax credit for smaller renewable energy projects which is tradable to any interested parties.

RMFU supports a personal property and sales tax exemption for renewable energy equipment similar to the Farm Equipment Exemption.

RMFU supports the dedication of a portion of Colorado's severance tax revenues to locally-owned renewable projects.

RMFU supports the incorporation of locally-owned projects into larger commercial projects, such as the sharing on transmission lines.

RMFU supports the reservation of transmission line capacity for renewable energy.

F. Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS)

We support a state policy that would create an expanded renewable portfolio standard for alternative energy, especially advocating solar, wind, biomass, geo-exchange and bio-fuels.

We support a state policy that would increase the renewable energy standard to 20 percent by 2015, add a renewable fuels standard to accelerate 10 percent of our fuel coming from bio-fuels on or before 2015, and create incentives for utility companies to conserve natural gas and electricity use 10 percent by 2015.

We demand that all existing and new coal-fired generating plants meet or exceed EPA standards for emissions.

We urge Colorado Rural Electric Association (CREA), Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) and all of the member-owned utilities to fully support the development of renewable energy, including opening their grid to purchasing on-farm produced energy.

We support continued research and development of natural, non-polluting, renewable energy sources, including but not limited to cellulosic ethanol and woody biomass. We encourage the formal organization of a Joint Committee on Renewable Energy to provide

research and support for renewable energy programs such as carbon sequestration, bio-fuels production and wind energy.

Article IV. Economic Policy

A. Taxes

RMFU supports reimbursement to counties for a portion of property taxes lost when tracts of land are removed from tax rolls.

We recommend that repair parts for farm equipment continue to be exempt from state and local sales tax.

We support the property tax productivity formula concept.

We support school district financing being based on progressive state income tax and severance tax on minerals, and less on property taxes. Burdensome property taxes should be greatly reduced.

We support using statewide, non-regressive sales and use taxes (with current exemptions for food, seed, farm goods, and production equipment) for education and other essential government services.

Rural land, rural vehicles and equipment, and rural residents and businesses should be exempt from taxes for urban infrastructure and projects.

We urge rural fire district approval of substations whenever a community is willing to man and financially support that substation.

We oppose the assessment of possessory interest taxation on the value of grazing and farming leases on government lands.

We support requiring municipalities and utilities owning land outside its corporate limits to pay its property taxes at the original taxing level.

We support the increase of severance taxes on all nonrenewable energy sources and minerals in Colorado.

We support deductions to farmers who donate to certified food banks.

B. Worker's Compensation

We support reduced worker's compensation rates and costs for family farms and their seasonal industries.

We recommend that the worker's compensation law be amended to recognize the unique nature of agriculture and to provide an option for small employers to find other resourceful alternatives.

C. Mechanical Lien

We support amending the mechanical lien law to protect an individual, who can prove payment for materials to a contractor, from the contractor's creditors.

We support a mechanical lien sunset after a three year period.

Article V. Colorado Department of Agriculture

We promote educational efforts to publicize the fact that Colorado agricultural producers provide critical benefits to the economic and environmental well-being of our state through the production of food and fiber.

We urge that the Colorado Department of Agriculture budget should be adequately funded.

We urge the Colorado Department of Agriculture to make annual inspections and tests on all licensed scales and grading or testing equipment.

We urge the Colorado Department of Agriculture to continue its role as a U.S. Department of Agriculture accredited organic certification agency.

We support laws standardizing the way moisture content of meat products is determined.

We encourage that the commissioner of agriculture to be a true voice for Colorado's family farmers and ranchers.

We call for a moratorium on the open-air planting of biopharmaceutical crops (crops grown for the production of pharmaceuticals and/or industrial chemicals) in Colorado because of the lack of knowledge of the possible effects on the environment, nearby crops and citizens, and an inadequate regulatory process. Further, we ask that the Colorado Department of Agriculture not approve any applications to grow these genetically modified crops.

Prior to the state of Colorado allowing the planting and or production of biopharmaceutical crops within the state, we demand that the commissioner of agriculture and Colorado legislators provide and require more independent research, public participation, and open records to the public regarding the proceedings, findings, and recommendations on research or further advancement of pharmaceutical crops.

We urge CDA to support access to farmers markets and direct producer marketing efforts within the state of Colorado.

We demand that CDA enforce the Bee Caution Label.

A. Weights and Measures

We support the state certification and inspection of all agricultural commodities testing equipment.

We support laws for standardizing dockage procedures on all applicable crops sold in Colorado.

B. Colorado Warehousing Act

We recommend that the Colorado Warehouse Law be carefully monitored and enforced.

C. Colorado Agricultural Development Authority (CADA)

We support operation of Colorado Agriculture Development Authority (CADA) in accordance with its original legislative intent.

We demand full disclosure and accountability of all funds dispersed through CADA.

We support the establishment of a sufficient fund to make both ownership and operating loans available at reduced interest rates for beginning farmers and producer owned value-added cooperatives.

We oppose the use of CADA bonds by large corporations and agribusinesses not owned by local producers.

We support expanding the role of the Colorado Agricultural Development Authority to include a state loan program for renewable energy projects.

D. State Fair

We encourage the Colorado State Legislature and the citizens of the state to support the Colorado State Fair and Industrial Exposition as an exhibition of agriculture, mining, water conservation, education, scientific facilities, processes, and products of the state of Colorado.

We support the Colorado State Fair Fund Income Tax Check-off and urge all citizens of the state to help the fair promote youth and agriculture through selecting this check off on their tax returns.

RMFU supports citizens of rural and agricultural communities serving on the Colorado State Fair Authority Board of Commissioners to promote agricultural-related issues and to further the true mission of the fair.

The above-stated support of our Colorado State Fair, however, is diminished by the Fair's requirement for premises ID—part of the National Animal Identification System (NAIS) not authorized by Congress. We demand that CDA and the Colorado State Fair Board cancel any mandatory aspects of NAIS imposed by the State Fair, especially those required of only youth exhibitors.

Article VI. Cooperatives

We encourage cooperatives to adhere to the traditional principle of one person, one vote.

We support promotion and establishment of “new generation” cooperatives that enable farmers to sell locally made, value-added, consumer-ready products to provide supplemental income.

We encourage careful consideration be given prior to any co-op mergers between co-ops and private or public corporations and/or any joint ventures.

We support the efforts of the National Commission for Uniform State Laws (NCUSL) to draft a modern and standard state cooperative law to allow additional flexibility in the organization and management of these important businesses.

We encourage NCUSL, in its new draft, to preserve cooperative values and the cooperative “brand.”

We recommend cooperative board members, managers, and employees attend a training in the philosophy of cooperatives.

Article VII. Conservation and Development Policy

A. Recycling

We encourage the creation of incentives to increase the use of recyclable materials.

We support the use of modern cogeneration technology that uses waste as fuel.

We encourage the promotion of recycling and on-farm composting.

We encourage the development of regional recycling centers throughout the state.

B. Wildlife Management

We encourage the Division of Wildlife and farmers and ranchers to participate in the process of setting seasons and wildlife management objectives.

We support equitable damages be paid by the Division of Wildlife even if the landowner or lessees receive a bounty or hunting fee.

We oppose the re-location of prairie dogs from urban to rural areas.

We support that the state of Colorado permit the use of Rozol and Kaput Prairie dog baits and other effective techniques for the eradication of prairie dogs.

We request legislative relief by permitting farmers and ranchers free licenses to hunt the legal limits on their own land, as is the practice in other states.

We support the habitat partnership program.

We urge that landowners who allow hunting be compensated for wildlife forage and habitat utilized on private land, based on herd numbers and paid on average animals-per-unit-per-month values.

We oppose increasing wildlife populations at the expense of livestock grazing or to the detriment of the ecosystem.

We urge our state wildlife management agency to do its utmost to reduce the threat posed by Chronic Wasting Disease.

We oppose wildlife management by ballot initiative.

We support a renewal of the Spring bear hunt.

We oppose the listing of any prairie dog as an endangered species as classified under the Endangered Species Act.

We support common-sense wildlife management practices administered by the Division of Wildlife.

C. Alternative Livestock and Non-Amenable Species (Bison, Elk and Deer)

We support the State Veterinarian's authority over all livestock and alternative livestock disease issues.

We support the ranching of alternative livestock if it is properly regulated, managed, and licensed by the Department of Agriculture.

We request legislative support that all regulatory changes be based upon the best available science, facts, and evidence.

We oppose the Division of Wildlife having any authority over livestock and alternative livestock disease or movement issues.

We support the Chronic Wasting Disease surveillance program for alternative livestock as regulated by the Department of Agriculture.

We oppose the management of any facet of the alternative livestock business by ballot initiative.

We require full market value compensation for alternative livestock and other livestock destroyed by the government on private and commercial ranches.

D. Noxious Weeds and Pests

We urge stronger enforcement of state laws to control noxious weeds and pests on all lands. This would include federal lands, state and county highway rights-of-way, pasture land, recreation areas, and private housing and subdivision property. Dirt/soil hauled from one location to another should be free of noxious weeds and seeds.

We urge the Colorado state legislature to provide a funding mechanism for the control of noxious weeds and pests that prevents the elimination of plants that will adversely effect honey production.

We urge the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) to plant appropriate native grasses along roadways.

E. Industrial Siting Act

We support enactment of an industrial siting act in Colorado that would include the following:

- Support for local land use control and the county land use commission in maintaining control of the location and development of heavy industry and mining development. It should be

a location that will cause the least impact to agriculture and the rural environment.

- State agencies should assist local entities in minimizing the impact and use of prime agricultural land for such development through feasibility studies in order to determine the full impact of selected sites and development.
- We support a definitive procedure ensuring public information with respect to such proposed land development.
- We support a provision to encourage rural towns and communities, if desired, to acquire lands for industrial parks. These parks would be used as a method of controlling the scope and development of industry, which would aid in stabilizing local economies.

We urge our state government to offer incentives for these new industries to locate in rural areas.

We support present eminent domain laws that encourage the placing of power lines and gas pipelines on private, rather than public land. The eminent domain laws should be changed to give equal protection to private landowners.

F. Animal Welfare

We support teaching animal welfare, as opposed to animal rights, through educational programs and agricultural organizations.

We support humane treatment of all domesticated animals, and their right to have proper food, shelter and water for survival.

We oppose the discontinuation of funding and ban on USDA supervised inspections in processing plants for horses in the United States.

We oppose the regulation of domestic livestock and animal husbandry by ballot initiative.

We support USDA inspected slaughter and processing of horses for meat markets.

G. Alternative Crops

We urge land grant universities to maintain agricultural extension and research programs which focus on alternative crop production for renewable energy and other uses for such crops.

We urge the Colorado legislature to legalize the production of industrial hemp as an alternative crop for agricultural producers.

Article VIII. Education and Social Policy

A. Medical Care

We support access to affordable medical, dental, vision and mental health services, as well as to long-term care and hospice care for all citizens.

We support a workable and affordable national universal health care plan to provide equal opportunity for adequate health care for all people. We support Universal Health Insurance for Colorado. We support a single-payer health system.

We support ending discrimination in insurance based on pre-existing condition or gender. We also support the elimination of medical payment caps. We support the deductibility of premiums for small businesses and the self-employed.

We support the Colorado Commission on Family Medicine and their efforts to place general practitioners in rural and under-served areas in the state.

We also support providing incentives for medical students who agree to serve in medically under-served areas after graduation.

We urge expanded use of physician assistants and/or nurse practitioners in areas whose populations cannot support a medical doctor.

We support federal and/or state funding for rural health clinics and emergency medical services in rural counties that have no medical services.

We urge local medical facilities and hospitals to provide medical care for the indigent and working poor who do not qualify for Medicare or Medicaid or do not have their own health insurance.

We support lowering health costs through nutritional education, disease prevention and wellness promotion.

We encourage changing the Medicare Part A hospice benefit so that it is dependant upon physician certification rather than a specific time limit.

We oppose the takeover of locally owned hospitals by large corporations.

We oppose the practice of rate banding by healthcare companies, either by age or health status of employees.

We support the creation of regional healthcare co-ops – based on the model of electrical co-ops – to spread the burden of healthcare costs.

We support capital investment by the state in building and sustaining the infrastructure for tele-medicine.

B. Education

We support adequate public funding of K-12 education and state supported post-secondary education systems of Colorado.

We support additional investment in secondary vocational education programs in Colorado.

We support public funding for Colorado Experiment Stations and the Extension Service.

We support increased state funding for the school districts be earmarked for academic purposes.

We support educational programs and distribution of education curricula to the public and all pre-K-16 schools that promotes American family farms' commitment to food quality and safety, ethical farm practices, a safe and healthful environment, land and water stewardship, and sustainability of food supplies.

We encourage developing alternatives to education funding systems that would reduce the reliance on property taxes.

We oppose the consolidation of rural school districts, and support the re-establishment of rural schools.

We oppose the consolidation of any community colleges within the state of Colorado.

We support adequate opportunities for local preschool child care and encourage common-sense approaches to solving any shortage.

We support the development of broadband capacity internet to be equitable to urban areas.

C. Senior Citizens

We support a property tax exemption for senior citizens. The amount of income allowable to senior citizens for property tax relief should be adjusted periodically to account for inflation.

D. Homelessness

We encourage public, private, and nonprofit support in alleviating the crisis of homelessness in both rural and urban areas of the state.

E. Nutrition and Farmers Markets

We support a definition of farmers markets to say the following: “A farmers market is a public and recurring assembly of farmers or their employees, selling local agricultural products they have grown, raised, or produced, directly to consumers. Such markets may include other products which reasonably serve the public or enhance the market’s diversity.”

We urge implementation of the Farmers Market Nutrition program in Colorado.

We support implementation of a “Farm to School (Cafeteria)” program for the school lunch program.

We support food education programs such as Slow Food and local food and nutrition seminars.

We support the continuation and expansion of Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) cards to farmers markets in Colorado.

We support community supported agriculture/subscription farms and farm-to-consumer co-ops selling directly to restaurants, grocers, and festivals.

We support farmers markets and other local product initiatives.

F. Immigration

We support an immigration policy which will meet the labor needs of the agricultural producer, but must meet the security needs of the state.

We encourage anyone seeking permanent residence in the state or country to apply for citizenship with all the rights and responsibilities that go along with it.

We demand passage of federal Ag JOBS legislation which will simplify the cumbersome H2A visa program and allow a predictable, legal supply of seasonal workers for our agricultural needs in Colorado.

Article IX. Government Regulatory Policy

A. Transportation

We recommend passage of legislation that would allow weight variance permits for trucks with farm plates and farm fuel suppliers with commercial plates of up to 15 percent within 100-mile radius when no scale exists at the point of loading.

We oppose additional railroad mergers and abandonment of service.

We urge utilization of existing railroads and rail lines for a mass transit system.

RMFU supports increased Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency (CAFE) standards as it has wide-ranging benefits resulting from less fuel consumption.

We urge that licensure for commercial grain transport in Colorado be permanently extended to farmers.

B. Telephone and Internet Service

We direct the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) to review the existing inequities of the different telephone patterns in rural communities and, where feasible, to help establish changes that would be more equitable to rural telephone customers.

We support regulation equalizing telephone and broadband internet access throughout the state. We are opposed to the deregulation efforts that could allow rural areas to be left without a carrier. Service providers that maintain telephone lines should be closely monitored and pricing kept comparable to metro areas.

C. Energy

We direct the Colorado State Legislature to instruct the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) to re-open the current Rate Base and implement Performance-based Rates in Colorado.

We direct the Colorado State Legislature to instruct the PUC to prioritize energy efficiency, conservation and renewable energy as prerequisites to approval of additional power generating facilities.

We direct the Colorado State Legislature to require all power generation built outside of Colorado to serve the energy needs of Colorado to meet all state standards for emissions and performance standards.

We direct the Colorado State Legislature to reinstate Section 1 “legislative declaration of intent” of Amendment 37 into the PUC resource approval process.

We oppose the sale of any Power Marketing Authorities (PMA).

We oppose any deregulation of rural utilities and services that will increase rates.

We oppose the breaching of any dams generating electric power or providing agricultural water in Colorado.

D. Country-of-Origin Labeling

We urge the State of Colorado to pass enforceable country-of-origin labeling, governing retail food.

E. Raw Milk

We support the statutory directives on the production and distribution of raw milk in the state. This minimal interference with the free market demand for farm fresh products will serve the best interests of consumers who desire this product and local dairies wishing to develop it.

We support the efforts by the Raw Milk Association of Colorado to establish testing standards and management practices for raw milk production.

F. Animal Identification

We oppose any mandatory animal identification program designed to force livestock producers to register their premises through 4-H, FFA, or fair programs.

RMFU opposes any premise registration and electronic or biometric identification program that

- Is mandatory;
- Establishes another level of regulation and an expensive level of registering premises and/or animals;
- Interferes with producers’ privacy and proprietary information; and
- Has the potential to undermine market opportunities.

We oppose any animal ID program that results in a shift from disease control to monitoring disease through traceability.

RMFU believes Colorado has workable methods of monitoring livestock diseases and safeguarding animal health.

G. Weather Modification

We oppose weather modification.

H. Emissions

We support the trading of carbon credits and the potential for inclusion of carbon sequestration and methane capture as agricultural conservation practices.

RMFU supports carbon sequestration research. This should encompass all practices, including grazing lands, energy feedstock production, organic cropping, wood lots, CRP, no till, and other proven conservation methods.

RMFU supports state and national greenhouse gas reduction targets that use a voluntary incentive-based approach to continue the economic viability of farms and ranches.

I. Food Safety

We demand enforcement of existing food-safety requirements and measures.

J. Amendment 14 – Concerning Industrial Hog Operations

We demand that the Colorado state legislature and related state regulatory agencies support the vote of the people and not debate or change any aspect of Amendment 14 as passed in 1998 but allow it to work as intended, to set water and air quality standards.

Article X. Political Reform

We demand a constitutional amendment be passed that requires at least a 60 percent threshold for any future constitutional amendments to be adopted.

We urge the state legislature to require a geographic component of the statewide signature level for any ballot initiative.

We oppose the passage of any amendments or laws that limit the effectiveness of elected officials or limit our use of the representative form of government.

We urge that county and state officials adhere to the laws created by the vote of the people.

We urge the adoption of public financing of all state and local campaigns.

NEW MEXICO POLICY 2010

Article I. Land and Water Policies

A. Noxious Weeds

We urge that the state of New Mexico Department of Highways be required and funded to control perennial noxious and poisonous weeds (particularly Field Bindweed, common Burdock and Johnson grass) along the state roadways.

We recommend the use of mite control for bindweed as tested at the Bushland, Texas Agriculture Science Research Center.

We urge the Natural Resource Conservation Service to enforce the control of weeds on the Conservation Reserve Program lands.

B. Land Commissioner

We support the continuation of an oversight board or authority over the office of State Land Commissioner, and we urge that it be structured so that it is responsive to the wishes of the people.

C. Water

We urge that all surface water compacts between New Mexico and other states be subject to review and possible re-negotiation in light of recent developments for irrigation and municipal water projects. Current restrictions on acre-footage to be held by the state should be adjusted to reflect these issues.

We support the development of the Ute Water Project.

We support efforts to remove salt cedar from all rivers, including the Pecos, Rio Grande and Canadian Rivers.

We recommend that ground water compacts be negotiated with other states to address any future claims upon aquifers and basins.

We support efforts by the state to research current and future water needs. We also endorse positions taken by the 22 water regions to adopt regional water plans for future utilization of water resources.

When ground water is to be transferred out of state, an impact assessment and public hearing should be held. Any losses incurred by New Mexico users should be fully compensated by the neighboring state or user that resides there.

When disputes over surface water arise between states, ground water users near stream flows should not be penalized for loss of downstream flow.

We oppose all initiatives to tax the use of water.

We oppose release of municipal or irrigation water in any reservoir for the silvery minnow, Arkansas shiner, or any other habitat.

We encourage the New Mexico office of the State Engineer to use its statutory authority to investigate and enforce the priority call of agricultural waters, particularly on the Canadian River and its tributaries.

We oppose any efforts to divert agricultural use water to other uses without a full study, and/or payment for loss of use. Additionally, we oppose any attempt to reduce agricultural water use to a lower priority. Agriculture should be raised to second or third priority, with domestic use being first priority.

We recommend that standards be adopted to deal with possible environmental contamination by industrial and corporate farms.

We support the development of municipal water projects utilizing existing local reservoirs.

We oppose the removal of dams on any river or destruction of any reservoir.

We recognize the value, growth and importance of the dairy industry to New Mexico. It is critical that this industry be a responsible user and conserver of water resources. It is also essential that this industry exercise due care to protect groundwater resources.

We oppose efforts by the Bureau of Land Management and other federal agencies to force local irrigation districts to release water from reservoirs for habitat preservation without an impact study and public hearing. Producers losing the use of this water should be justly compensated for loss of production.

We support the development of local water courts and mediation to settle adjudication claims.

We do not support the metering of domestic wells.

We do not support the reduction of domestic well production below three acre feet per year level.

We support increased food safety and security with additional testing especially of foreign-produced products.

D. Wastewater

We support the development of a fund for rural areas to give assistance with the mandated updating of septic take disposal systems, and with bringing older systems up to code.

E. Property Rights

We support property rights legislation on the state and federal level. Market or use value, whichever is greater should be paid in any "taking."

We oppose any efforts to implement state-wide planning or zoning.

We support Surface Rights protection.

We support reasonable and fair compensation to surface owners for damage caused by mineral development. Compensation to the surface owner should include but not limited to loss of production income, lost land value, lost access to surface owners' remaining land, including loss of improvements.

We urge that a written agreement be in place prior to entry.

We oppose the mergers of city and county governments.

We support the creation of a water trust fund to insure the state has adequate water resources and can defend its interests in lawsuits with neighboring states.

Article II. Energy Sources, Development and Conservation

The positive economic impact on agriculture and rural communities through expanded tax bases, reduced outward migration of rural populations, and reduced dependence on fossil fuels, foreign oil, and traditional grain markets makes the continued development of a viable ethanol industry imperative. Therefore, we support and call for continued favorable legislation at the state and federal levels for the development of ethanol and other alternative energy resources.

We encourage members and the general public to support the New Mexico ethanol and other alternative energy resources.

We support the building of the Tres Amigas project, super conductor switching station in Curry County, New Mexico.

We support the focus of economic development efforts to build the infrastructure and secondary facilities for new energy development, and to allow Rural Electric Cooperatives to be part of the process.

We strongly encourage the use of ethanol products in state, county and city vehicles.

We support allowing Rural Electric Associations (REA) to increase net metering for agricultural operations and rural businesses.

We support the creation of a Renewable Energy Trust Fund, funded by severance taxes from new oil and gas production.

We support a revolving loan for renewable energy economic development projects.

We urge information on carbon credit opportunities be made available to agricultural producers.

We support a state tax credit for smaller renewable energy projects which is tradable.

We support a personal property and sales tax exemption for renewable energy equipment and supplies.

We recommend that intra-state prices of natural gas be reduced to compare with interstate prices.

We support the installation, erection and operation of wind-power electrical generation systems with just compensation to the acreage owners.

We support oil drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

We support the monitoring of meters on oil and gas wells for purposes of severance tax collection.

Article III. Economic and Social Policies

A. Predators and Pests

We strongly support legal measures in the effort to restore effective predator control.

The judicious use of cyanide guns must be continued on federal, state, and private lands to control coyotes and wolves if this country is to protect its livestock industry. Where the use of poison or cyanide guns are not viable, livestock guard dogs may be used in addition to other non-poison controls.

We oppose the Wolf Reintroduction Program.

We urge New Mexico State University to do research on non-poisonous pest and predator control means.

We urge the appropriation of federal funds to help control destructive birds because they are a serious crop and health hazard.

We urge the spraying of state lands or grassland adjacent to cropland at the proper time to control destructive insects and rodents.

B. Game and Fish

We urge the New Mexico Game and Fish Department to coordinate hunting seasons and area permitting to control nuisance and destructive wildlife.

We support legislation to allow farmers and ranchers to hunt the legal limits on their own land without a license.

C. Taxes

We support the current property tax program under which farmland is taxed on its ability to produce rather than on its sale value.

We recommend that the tax level be maintained at 33 1/3 percent of the assessed value.

Increased capital outlay costs should be paid for by taxes raised in an equitable fashion so that the burden will be borne by all segments of the economy.

We recommend that a broader and more equitable state tax base be formulated and that a halt be made to increasing ad valorem taxes. We recommend that the state drop the sales or excise tax on farm machinery and agricultural production items.

We recommend that the mileage-use tax on farm trucks be eliminated, and a flat license fee be established and handled by the Motor Vehicle Department.

We support use of one-tenth of a percentage of taxes collected by municipalities being used for economic development. Specifically, funds generated should be allocated to local economic development corporations for local business and cooperative development.

We support moving one-tenth of the economic development tax and designate it specifically for rural economic development.

We urge the state of New Mexico to make a \$3 million commitment to rural business and cooperative development from funds received from the settlement of the tobacco lawsuit.

D. Veterinarians

We urge New Mexico to establish a veterinary school. Until then, we urge New Mexico universities to work with other universities to accept more of our veterinary students. Lottery monies may be used for tuition for veterinary students studying out of state.

E. Trade

We support expanding trade of agricultural crops with Mexico and Cuba.

F. Banking

We urge credit unions be represented on the State Rural Banking Task Force.

G. Premise Registration and Animal Identification

We oppose any mandatory 4-H, FFA, or fair program designed to force livestock producers to register premises or animals in a system that is unnecessary and intrusive.

We believe New Mexico has workable methods of monitoring livestock diseases and safeguarding animal health. The brand board and state veterinarians have necessary tools to track diseased livestock.

We oppose any premise registration that

- Is mandatory, and
- Establishes another level of regulation, with registering premises and/or animals, and
- Interferes with a producer's privacy and proprietary information, and
- Has the potential to undermine an open and competitive market system.

We believe that these programs have nothing to do with health and security.

H. Alternative Crops

We strongly support research and development by private and public efforts to create industrial uses and markets for products produced on our farms, and ethanol blended and bio-diesel fuels, medicines, protein supplements and cosmetics, as well as other innovative uses needed by industry.

We support the reintroduction of industrial hemp (defined as *Cannabis Sativa*), having one percent or less tetrahydrocannabinol (THC).

We support research into the viability and economic potential of industrial hemp produced in the United States.

Article IV. Government and Agencies

A. Agricultural Services

We urge New Mexico Department of Agriculture to conduct a study of agricultural services in New Mexico with regard to the needs of rural people, the services available, and responsiveness of service agencies.

We urge that appropriate testing be made available for local areas for soil, crops, etc.

We oppose any efforts by the Farm Service Agency (FSA) and the Risk Management Agency (RMA) to force ditch irrigators to sign up their farms as dryland acres during an irrigation water shortage. Furthermore, we believe that producers should not have their irrigated base taken away due to unnatural lake, river and stream conditions.

We oppose the burden of record keeping for hay and grain producers for bio-terrorism on hay when other safe-guards are already in place.

B. Secretary of Agriculture

We favor a system where the governor, rather than the Board of Regents, appoints the secretary of agriculture.

We support moving the state office from Las Cruces to Santa Fe.

C. Gun Control

We support all firearm education safety courses and safe and legal use of firearms.

We oppose gun confiscation laws imposed upon U.S. citizens by any local, state or federal agency. We oppose the ban on semiautomatic weapons.

We support strict enforcement of existing laws.

D. Right to Work

We believe the right-to-work laws should be a state prerogative.

We oppose any common situs picketing bill.

We demand that employers be able to recover the cost of defending themselves when being falsely accused by employees of practices such as but not limited to harassment, discrimination, race, age, sex, etc.

E. State Education

We support a fair and equitable formula for distributing state education funds.

We support agriculture education, home economics, vocational-technical training programs, and other occupational preparation courses as an integral part of our free, public educational system.

We oppose the implementation of school vouchers.

We support continuance of the Perkins Act.

We oppose diversion of principal from the permanent state fund for education.

F. Utilities

We oppose any utility deregulation or regulation that results in higher cost to consumers.

G. International Relations

We oppose the construction of the "Border Fence" between Mexico and the United States.

H. Food Safety

We support increased food safety and security with additional testing especially of foreign-produced products.

WYOMING POLICY 2010

Article I. Water

A. Water Law

We encourage the wise use and conservation of underground water between states. We oppose the backdating of water rights.

We resolve that the Wyoming State Legislature prohibit the change in use of any existing agricultural water rights to commercial and industrial uses. We recommend that the sale of adjudicated irrigation water be limited to agricultural uses. We are opposed to allowing holders of water rights to sell any or part of this water for nonagricultural uses.

We oppose all actions to take water now being used for agricultural purposes.

We oppose any privately held water rights being used for instream flow purposes.

B. Utilization of Water

We urge the limited remaining water resources allocated to the State of Wyoming be developed under law for beneficial use in the state, with special emphasis upon maximizing reservoir storage of surface water.

We recognize the desirability of protecting the high quality of our waters and assuring their suitability for beneficial uses, and support legislation to do so.

We urge the state of Wyoming to encourage and assist in the development of economically feasible innovative technology and incentives oriented toward efficient uses of the waters in this state when it does not injure vested water rights.

We support the concept of storing and using all unappropriated Wyoming water within the state in accordance with existing Wyoming water law.

We oppose granting underground or other supplemental water rights to land where the surface water rights have been sold.

C. Water Project Funding

We urge additional funding be set aside in Water Development accounts for future water projects.

D. North Platte River

We urge that the proposed enlargement of water storage as part of the Platte River Cooperative Agreement on the North Platte River and its tributaries be completed.

E. Municipal Water

Wyoming municipalities and schools should be required to submit a report to the State Board of Control on the amount of water used to irrigate park grounds, right-of-ways and roadways, and urged to consider using gray water and dry land grasses instead of irrigated grasses where feasible.

F. Water Quality

Water produced in Coal-Bed Methane (CBM) development must meet existing state water quality standards for surface water discharge. Laws requiring mitigation of impacts associated with CBM water must be established to protect adjacent landowners.

We support existing water quality standards effecting agriculture, livestock and wildlife and feel no changes are necessary.

Article II. Land Use

Wyoming statutes provide for comprehensive planning and zoning on a countywide basis, covering unincorporated areas. The following statutory revisions should be made by the Wyoming Legislature:

- Planning and zoning decisions should be made as close to the people affected as possible.
- Prohibit the state from preempting local decision-making except where land use is clearly not just a local concern.
- Although we recognize the need for industrial expansion and the purchase of land for industry, we strongly oppose the acquisition of productive agricultural land for non-agricultural uses.

Article III. Minerals

A. Mineral Rights

We recommend that 25-year time limits be established on ownership of severed mineral rights, including those retained by the state. After this period, mineral rights ownership shall revert to the surface owner in all cases where present production of minerals is not occurring.

We urge that the decision to allow mining and oil companies the use of private lands should lay with the surface owner, and in all cases, compensatory payments should be made to the landowner for all damages incurred.

B. Leases

We support changes in existing law to require prior consent be obtained from surface rights owners before a lease may be acquired by mineral companies to develop minerals.

Article IV. Oil and Gas

We urge caution in the development of Coal-Bed Methane (CBM) and request all available technology be used in development and reclamation of products and by-products.

Article V. Conservation

A. Recycling

The state should encourage all forms of recycling by requiring a deposit on all non-biodegradable recyclable materials.

We support a statewide recycling project.

B. Noxious Weed Control

We demand stronger enforcement of state laws to control noxious weeds and pests and require each county to control noxious weeds and pests on all lands, with stiff penalties for noncompliance.

We request the re-establishment of a "state cost share" to aid in controlling prairie dogs and/or noxious weeds.

C. Game and Fish Department

We request that the State Game and Fish Department be prohibited from introducing or transplanting predatory animals within the state.

We ask that the trespass laws be strictly enforced. We oppose the direct purchase of agricultural land by the Game and Fish Commission; instead they should look into leasing and/or buying easements.

We urge that landowners who allow hunting be compensated for forage by wildlife and habitat utilized on private land compensation should be based on herd numbers and paid on average animal/unit/month values.

We urge that game coupons be retained to compensate landowners/lessees for verifiable nuisance factor damage.

We urge the Wyoming Game and Fish Department to properly protect Wyoming's ungulate wildlife resources from the ever-increasing threat of Chronic Wasting Disease and brucellosis.

We urge the Game and Fish Commission to compensate private landowners/lessees for wolf predation of livestock and animals guarding livestock in areas where the wolf is classified the same as other trophy game animals.

D. Endangered Species Act

We support state authorization of listings under the Endangered Species Act.

We support using scientific data being used in the listing process for listing under the Endangered Species Act.

We support the delisting of the grizzly bear and all wolf species as specified in the ESA by the Fish and Wildlife Service.

We support keeping prairie dogs off the endangered species list.

We support vacating the listing of the Prebbles Meadow Jumping Mouse.

Article VI. Education Policy

We recommend the continuation of an elected school board from sub-district areas.

We support the organization of a Farmers Union local for youth at the University of Wyoming.

At all levels of education, we stress the primacy of academics over athletics.

When state school funding reductions are necessary, local districts need to be able to identify their own areas of cutbacks.

All state education programs mandated for local districts by the state of Wyoming must have accompanying funding.

We urge local input be considered when adopting statewide assessments.

We urge all schools to offer a strong agriculture and vocational education curriculum.

We encourage a statewide mill levy to support community colleges.

We recommend testing at the local level be recognized as a measure of proficiency to guarantee that Wyoming state standards and benchmarks are met.

Article VII. Tax Policy

A. Ad Valorem Taxes

Taxes and licensing requirements on seasonal-use farm vehicles should correspond with length of time in use.

We urge the continuation of the gas tax credit for agricultural production. Agricultural operations should be allowed a five-year average to meet the dollar criteria in qualifying for the credit.

We are opposed to a state income tax for Wyoming.

We oppose a property tax exemption for business and business investment in the free enterprise system made by churches and religious groups.

We encourage railroads to pay county property taxes to assist in funding fire protection.

We demand the State of Wyoming review its assessment procedures to reflect verifiable production figures, not company self-assessments.

We urge the abolishment of payment of taxes under protest.

B. Wyoming Inheritance Tax

We oppose a state inheritance and/or estate tax.

Until such time as these taxes are abolished, we urge tax reform that will allow the value of farm and grazing lands to be based on the productivity of such lands for gift and inheritance tax purposes.

C. Sales and Use Tax

We believe that noncommercial sales should be exempt from collecting state sales tax.

We ask the legislature to exempt agricultural parts and supplies from sales tax.

We propose that personal property tax be abolished on agricultural equipment.

We strongly support the exemption of farm equipment from sales tax.

D. Severance Tax

We support severance and mineral taxes.

Article VIII. Political Involvement

We urge Farmers Union members to work closely with the governor and state legislature on issues pertaining to agriculture.

Article IX. Unity

We believe that all farm organizations should work as closely together as possible to accomplish the goals of agriculture.

Article X. Workmen's Compensation

We strongly urge that workmen's compensation for farmers and ranchers continue to be optional.

Article XI. Waste

We oppose the importation of all waste into Wyoming.

Article XII. Warehouse Law

The issuance of warehouse receipts should be mandatory, and the warehouse receipts should post all handling, cleaning and storage charges, pro-rated on actual tare and purity as well as all other information now required by Wyoming law.

We encourage the legislature to take whatever action is necessary to ensure that the stored commodities shall remain the property of the owners that delivered the commodities for storage in the case of bankruptcy of the warehouse.

We also recommend warehouses be required to issue warehouse receipts no later than 45 days from the date of original delivery as shown by scale tickets or upon request. The scale delivery tickets should be considered proof of ownership until sale or the warehouse receipt has been issued. Warehouse receipts shall be issued on all producer-owned commodities prior to leaving the state. When a warehouse certifies itself as empty, an automatic audit will be triggered regardless of whether the license is renewed or not.

We demand that commodities stored in warehouses be inspected bi-annually for quality as well as quantity, and more frequently and unannounced as deemed necessary.

Article XIII. Grain Indemnity Fund

We oppose any grain indemnity fund.

Article XIV. State Land and Investment Board

We recommend the State Land and Investment Board continue making direct real estate loans to producers.

We urge the SLIB to allow irrigation loans to finance renewable energy power systems for irrigation practices.

We urge continued support of Beginning Farmer/Rancher Loan programs.

Article XV. Electrical Utilities

We recommend legislation placing the sale of all power generated and sold in Wyoming under the control of the Public Service Commission. This would include wholesale suppliers.

Article XVI. Economic Development

We welcome economic development that complements our present economic base rather than jeopardizes it.

We ask the legislature to provide incentives for rural communities to establish agricultural commodities and bio-fuels processing within the state.

We urge the state's economic development efforts be directed toward small community projects.

We urge economic development in Wyoming be focused on attracting clean industries, paying a living wage.

Article XVII. Livestock Regulations

We urge state livestock regulations be amended to require owner notification before mis-shipped livestock are sold.

We recommend landowners be able to file security interests against livestock to collect pasture and feed debt.

We urge brand inspection on sheep be repealed when a more efficient method of ownership determination and assessment can be developed and implemented.

We oppose the undue burden placed on livestock producers in Northwest Wyoming by the requirement of testing for brucellosis.

We urge the USDA's Animal Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) to rewrite brucellosis rules to reflect lessened human health risks and the increased potential livestock infection from wildlife.

We oppose the loss of a state's "brucellosis-free" status based only on infections traced and proven to be from wildlife.

Producers' fees for brand inspection should be set at a level to cover actual brand inspection program costs and not general administration, disease prevention or trace back costs.

Article XVIII. Damage Notification Requirements

People causing and law enforcement officers investigating damage to property, should be required to notify landowners of the damage and parties found guilty of causing the damage be required to pay the damage.

Article XIX. Mediation Service

We support mediation between disputing parties to reach workable agreements.

Article XX. State School Trust Lands

We oppose the sale of state school lands. Any sale of state land shall be scrutinized in regard to maintaining a balance, both geographically and economically.

We recognize that the state trust lands are not "public lands" and the public has no inherent right to access.

All users should have fees established for use of state school trust lands.

We urge that current lessee maintain their preferential right on their current lease.

Article XXI. State Legislative Proceedings

We support recording all votes and legislative proceedings, including committee meetings, floor debates, and interim hearings.

Article XXII. Conflict of Interest

We support legislation defining conflict of interest for legislators and elected officials.

Article XXIII. Landowner Reimbursement

We support full landowner and fire district reimbursement for damages and fire suppression costs on both public and private properties caused by the railroads.

Article XXIV. Wyoming Department of Agriculture (WDA) Funding

We support funding of WDA at a level to meet their statutory duties and provide comparable wage levels to other state employees.

Article XXV. Excess State Revenues

We support all excess state revenues being deposited in the Permanent Mineral Trust Fund in absence of a rebate to the citizens.

Article XXVI. Alternative Energy

We support renewable energy production within Wyoming.

We support a renewable portfolio standard for Wyoming.

We encourage state-assisted community-sized bio-fuels development and production.

We support renewable energy developmental and storage rights remaining with surface rights.

We support reserving a percentage of the capacity of any publicly funded transmission line for power generated from renewable sources.

We urge new transmission line routes be sited to maximize wind power opportunities.

We support state research into alternative crops for bio-fuels use.

We encourage the individual or cooperative development and ownership of wind energy and co-generation production; in cases of development, we support fair reimbursements to landowners.

We support terrestrial sequestration of carbon, where safety and stability can be assured.

We strongly support the ownership of pore space remaining with the surface owner.

INDEX

National:
New Mexico

5-18
27-29

Colorado
Wyoming

19-26
30-33

A

Ad Valorem Taxes 31
Agricultural Land 5
Agricultural Research 11
Agricultural Services 29
Alternative Crops 15, 24, 29
Alternative Energy 15, 21, 32
Alternative Fuels 15
Alternative Livestock and Non-Amenable Species 23
Amendment 14 26
Animal Identification 11, 25
Animal Welfare 12, 24

B

Banking 28
Bear Hunt, Colorado 23
Bee Caution Label 22
BGH 11
Bio-fuels 15, 21
Bison, Elk and Deer 23
Bovine Growth Hormone 11
BST 11

C

CADA 22
CAFE 15
CDA 22
Coal Gasification 15
Colorado Agricultural Development Authority 22
Colorado Department Of Agriculture 22
Colorado Oil & Gas Conservation Commission 20
Colorado Warehousing Act 22
Commodity Check-off Programs 12
Commodity Futures Markets 13
Community Financial Institutions 13
Conflict of Interest 32
Congressional and Presidential Elections 18
Conservation 7, 13, 23, 27, 30
Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program 7
Conservation Reserve Program 7
Conservation Security Program 7
COOL 7, 25
Cooperative Financing 8
Cooperatives 9
Corporate Average Fuel Efficiency 15
Corporate Farming 5, 19
Cost Share Programs 7
Country-of-Origin Labeling 7, 25
CREP 7
CRP 7
CSP 7

D

Damage Notification Requirements 32
Democracy and Non-Violence 12

Disaster Programs 7
Domestic Horse Population 12

E

Economic Development 19, 32
Economic Policy 12, 28
Education 17, 24, 31
Electrical Utilities 32
Electronic Benefits Transfer 17
Eminent Domain 5, 19
Emissions 26
Endangered Species Act 12, 31
Energy 13, 15, 25, 27
Environmental Quality Incentive Program 7
EQIP 7
Estate Tax 12, 31
Excess State Revenues 32

F

Family Farm Defined 5
Farm Credit System 13
farmers markets 22
Farmers Markets 9, 17, 25
Farm Labor 8
Farm Program Recommendations 6
Farm Service Agency 8
Farm to Cafeteria 9
Farm to Consumer Food 9
FCS 13
Federal Crop Insurance 6
Federal Meat Grading and Inspection 8
Federal Public Lands 10
Federal Reserve 13
Federal School Lunch Program 9
Federal Water Projects 14
Financial Incentives 21
Food Safety 6, 8, 10, 17, 26, 29
Foreign Policy 9
FSA 8
Future Farm Programs - Parity of Income 6

G

Game and Fish 28, 30
Genetically Modified Organisms 11
Genetic Patents 11
GMO 11
Government 25, 29
Grain Indemnity Fund 32
Greenhouse Gas Mitigation 15
Growth Hormones 8
Gun Control 29

H

Health Care 17, 18, 24
Hemp, industrial 15, 24

Highways 16
Homelessness 25
Honey Loan Program 7

I

Immigration 25
Industrial Hemp 15, 24
Industrial Hog Operations 26
Industrial Siting Act 23
Infrastructure Ownership 17
International Relations 12, 29
Internet Tax 12

L

Labeling 7
Land 19, 27
Land Commissioner 27
Land Grant Universities 17
Landowner Reimbursement 32
Land Use 19, 30
Leases 30
Livestock Health 11
Livestock Regulations 32
Longer Combination Vehicles 16
Long-term Trade Agreements 10
LVC 16

M

Management of Lands 10
Mass Transit 16
Mechanical Lien 22
Mediation Service 32
Medical Care 17, 24
Medicare 18
Mineral Rights 30
Minerals 30
Municipal Water 30

N

NAFTA Superhighway 16
NAIS 23
National Animal Identification System 23
National Food and Farm Policy 5
National Health Insurance 17
Native American Water Rights 14
Natural Foods 6
Natural Resources Conservation Service 7
Net-Metering Policy 21
New Agricultural Production Technologies 11
New Mexico Department of Agriculture 29
Non-amenable Species 8
Non-Toxic Waste 16
North American Free Trade Agreement 16
North Platte River 30
Noxious Weeds 13, 23, 27, 30
Noxious Weeds and Pests 23
NRCS 7
Nuclear Energy 15
Nutrition Programs 17, 25

O

Oil and Gas 30
Oil and Minerals 19
Organic Foods 6
Ownership 5

P

Parity 6
Petroleum Energy 15
Political Involvement 31
Political Reform 26
Pollution Prevention 16
Postal Service and Rates 18
Power Utilities 14
Predators and Pests 14, 28
Premise Registration and Animal Identification 28
Preservation of Agricultural Water 20
Property Rights 27

R

Railroads 16
Raw Milk 25
Raw Water Projects 20
Recycling 16, 23, 30
Regulating Risk in the Financial Services Industry 13
Regulatory Agencies 16
Renewable Energy 5, 6, 15, 16, 17, 21
Renewable Portfolio Standards 21
Research and Development 15
Right to Work 29
Risk Management 6
RPS 21
Rural Development (USDA) 8
Rural Policy 5
Rural Revitalization 16
Rural Utility Services 14
RUS 14

S

Sales and Use Tax 31
SCHIP 18
School Breakfast Program 17
School Lunch Program 9, 17
Secretary of Agriculture (NM) 29
Senior Citizens 24
Severance Tax 31
Small Business Development Authority 11
Social Policy 17, 24
Social Security 18
Social Services 18
Soil and Water Conservation 21
Solar energy 21
Special Supplemental Food Program for WIC 17
State Children's Health Insurance Program 18
State Education 29
State Fair (Colorado) 22
State Land 19
State Land and Investment Board 32
State Legislative Proceedings 32
State School Trust Lands 32

Sub-therapeutic Antibiotics 8, 11
Summer Feeding Program 17

T

Taxes 22, 28
Tax Policy 12, 31
Tax Reform 12
Telecommunications 14
Telephone and Internet Service 25
Toxic Waste 16
Trade Policy 9, 28
Transportation 16, 25

U

United Nations 12
United States Department of Agriculture 8
Unity 31
USWA 13
Utilities 13, 29
Utilization of Water 30

V

Value-added Tax 12
Vertical Integration and Price Fixing 13
Veterans' Benefits 18
Veterinarians 28

W

WAPA 14
Warehouse Law 31

Warehousing 13
Waste 31
Waste Disposal 16
Wastewater 27
Water 14, 20, 27, 30
Water Diversion 20
Water Law 30
Water Projects 20, 30
Water Quality 14, 21, 30
WDA 32
Weather Modification 26
Weights and Measures 22
WHIP 7
WIC 17
Wild Horse Population 11
Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program 7
Wildlife Management 23
Wind Energy 21
Wolf Reintroduction Program 28
Wool and Mohair Program 7
Worker's Compensation 22
Workmen's Compensation 31
World Food 12
Wyoming Department of Agriculture 32
Wyoming Inheritance Tax 31